

In the Court of Ms. Raj Gupta, Sessions Judge, Palwal UID No.HR0110

CNR No. HRPL01-006058-2026

CIS No. BA-1614-2026

Case No. 264 of 2026

Date of Institution: 13.07.2026

Date of Order: 20.07.2026

Surender @ Sonu son of Nanak Chand, aged about 25 years, resident of village Jatola, P.S.Gadpuri, District Palwal.

...Applicant

Vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

FIR No: 93 dated 15.3.2026

U/ss: 109(1), 190, 191 (3), 115(2),

324(4), 333, 61 (2) BNS & 27 (2) Arms Act

PS: Gadpuri, District

Palwal.

Application for bail under Section 483 BNSS

Present: Shri Nishant Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant.
Shri Brij Mohan, Ld. Public Prosecutor for the State
assisted by Shri Sachin Prasher, ld, counsel for the
complainant.

ORDER:

By this order, I shall dispose of an second application for regular bail, filed by the applicant, arising out of FIR No. 93 dated 15.3.2026, under Sections U/ss: 109(1), 190, 191 (3), 115(2), 324(4), 333, 61 (2) BNS & 27 (2) Arms Act PS: Gadpuri, District Palwal.

Raj Gupta,
Sessions Judge, Palwal.

2 Notice of the application was given to the State, which filed its reply through learned Public Prosecutor for the State.

3 The brief facts of the case of prosecution are that on 14.3.2026, an information was received in the police station that in village Jatola, an altercation had taken place between two parties and action was needed. On that information, ASI Sushil Kumar alongwith police officials went to the place of occurrence, where he came to know that a quarrel took place between the parties and they had used firearm and lathi, danda. in that occurrence. Nobody was found present at the spot. Investigating officer left HC Sanjeev to preserve the spot. After enquiry, it had come to his notice that Giriraj and Sanjay had been admitted in Sarvodya Hospital, Faridabad. Thereafter, IO went to Sarvodya Hospital, Faridabad and obtained the ruqua and MLRs of injured persons. Doctor declared both the injured persons as unfit for making the statement. Thereafter, IO went to the place of occurrence. Scene of Crime team was called at the spot and one empty cartridge, pieces of brick and glasses were picked up from the spot and same were taken into police possession. On 15.3.2026, ASI Satender alongwith Constable Vinod went to Sarvodya Hospital, Faridabad and opinion was sought from doctor for recording the statement of injured persons, where doctor opined injured Girraj fit for making statement. Then Girraj made a

Raj Gupta
Sessions Judge, Palwal.

complaint to the police regarding taking action against accused persons namely Anil Tanwar, Sunil Devi, Sardar, Daya Tanwar, Bachha, Monu, Harender (Bikaner wala), Narveer, Chintu, Dhiraj, Gajender, Azad Tanwer, Sanjay, Mohit, Surender Sonu, son of Pitamber and 15-16 unknown persons, for attacking upon him and his family members after entering into his house. He submitted that on 13.3.2026, his son Sunny (Advocate) was returning home from Faridabad at about 09:40 p.m in a vehicle with his friend. When he was coming through the street of Bikaner, then on the way, Anil Tanwar while parking his Scorpio vehicle in the middle of the road, was consuming liquor inside the vehicle. When his son requested to set aside the vehicle then he asked whether he was son of Girraj, Sarpanch and did he not know Surender @ Sonu, resident of Jatola. His son replied that he knew Surender @ Sonu. On hearing this, he started abusing his son and threatened him that they would kill him and his family members at any time. When his son and his friend started coming from another route, then Anil Tanwar threw bricks and stones upon his moving vehicle, with intention to kill them. Thereafter, his son alongwith his friend came to their house. After sometime, he received a call on his mobile phone from mobile no.9050908182 regarding threatening to kill him and his family members. He also made a complaint in that regard from his mobile no.9999452500 to the police. He again submitted that

Raj Gupta
Sessions Judge, Palwal.

yesterday morning, at about 08.10a.m, Anil Tanwar, Sunil @ Devi, Sardar, Daya Tanwar, Bachha @ Rohit, Parmod, Monu, Harender, Devi (Bikaner Wala), Narveer, Chintu, Dhiraj, Gajender, Azad Tanwar, Sanjay, Mohit, son of Pandit, son of Pitamber in 10-12 vehicles, alongwith 15-16 miscreant type of persons, came to his house, after parking their vehicles near pond of his house and started firing there. Anil Tanwar, Rohit @ Bachha, Daya Tanwar, Azad, Narveer and Parmod were having country made pistols, revolver and pistol. Harender, Monu and Pitamber were having pharsa in their hands and remaining accused Sunil @ Devi, Sardar, Chintu, Dheeraj, Gajender, Sanjay, Mohit son of Pandit and 15-16 other miscreants were having iron rod, lathies, dandas. They attacked upon him and his family members with intention to kill them. Anil Tanwar, Rohit @ Bachha, Daya Tanwar, Azad, Narveer and Parmod fired upon him and his brother Sanjay with intention to kill him. His brother Sanjay saved his life while sitting on the floor. Then they again fired upon Sanjay while entering into the house and one fire hit in the abdomen of Sanjay and other firearm injury was sustained by Sanjay on his hand. Harender, Monu @ Kanta, Devi (Bikaner wala) and son of Pitamber hit upon his head, neck and stomach by pharsa with intention to kill him. Sunil @ Devi, Sardar, Chintu, Dheeraj, Gajender, Sanjay Mohit, son of Pandit and 15-16 other miscreants attacked upon them while entering into their house

with iron rod, lathies, dandas and bricks. However, his family members saved their lives while entering into the house and locking the door. They also damaged their vehicle. On hearing alarm, his brother Rahul came there and he took him and his brother to Sarvodya Hospital, Faridabad. His brother Sanjay was unconscious at that time. On these allegations, the present FIR under sections 190, 191 I(3), 115(2), 324 (4), 333,109 (1) BNS & section 27(2) of Arms Act was registered. Thereafter investigation, was taken in hand by ASI Mahanand Singh. Accused Surender @ Sonu was arrested on 13.4.2026. Disclosure statement of accused Surender @ Sonu was recorded. During investigation, section 61 (2) BNS was invoked..

4 Learned counsel for the applicant argued that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case. He has not committed any offence. The allegations qua him are false and frivolous. He is in custody since 14.4.2026. Investigation has already been completed. Challan has already been filed. The applicant is ready to furnish his bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of this court. No such or similar bail application is pending in any superior court of law.

Raj Gupta
Sessions Judge, Palwal.

5 On the other hand, learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposed the bail application on the ground that applicant is involved in serious offence, so he is not entitled to any bail.

6 I have heard the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the applicant and learned Public Prosecutor for the State and have gone through the file very carefully.

7 The earlier bail application filed on behalf of the applicant was declined by this court of Ms. Sukirti vide order dated 12.06.2026 alongwith the following observation:

In the present case, it has been alleged against the applicant-accused that he was the main conspirator and he did receive of the family of complainant party and provided information about them to co-accused Anil and on receiving the said information, co-accused persons attacked upon the complainant party and inflicted multiple injuries upon them including gunshot injury in the abdomen and hand of injured Sanjay. Medical evidence of injureds also explains the injuries. As per IO, the CDR of the applicant-accused shows exchange of calls between the applicant-accused and co-accused Anil immediately after the alleged occurrence. Investigation is still pending and co-accused persons are yet to be arrested. At this stage, the material on record, prima facie, shows active involvement of the applicant-accused in the alleged occurrence.

Considering the gravity of the alleged offence, severity of the punishment provided for the alleged offence and the aforesaid reasons, this Court is of the opinion that the applicant-accused Surender @ Sonu is not entitled for bail at this stage. Accordingly, the first bail application under Section 483 BNSS filed on behalf of applicant Surender @ Sonu is hereby dismissed.

Raj Gupta
Sessions Judge, Palwal.

8 The circumstances of the case, since the rejection of earlier bail application filed on behalf of the applicant, have not undergone any substantial change to warrant the release of the applicant on regular bail. Mere filing of challan is not a material change in the facts and circumstances of the case. Also mere extended custody is not sufficient to accord the concession of bail.

9 In view of the above circumstances, the Court has no reason to admit the applicant to the concession of regular bail at this stage. Consequently, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, bail application filed on behalf of the applicant is dismissed. It is clarified that none of the observations made herein above shall be construed to be a reflection on the merits of the case. The same are solely confined for the purpose of decision of the present application. Papers be tagged with the main file or that file be consigned to records, as the case may be.

Pronounced in open Court
20.07.2026

(Raj Gupta),
Sessions Judge, Palwal.
(UID No.HR-0110)

Sandeep-1

Note : All the eight pages of this order have been
checked and signed by me.

(Raj Gupta)

Raj Gupta
Sessions Judge, Palwal.

Surender alias Sonu vs State -BA 1614 of 2026

Present: Shri Nishant Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant.
Shri Brij Mohan, Ld. Public Prosecutor for the State
assisted by Shri Sachin Prasher, ld, counsel for the
complainant.

Reply filed. Arguments heard. Vide my separate order of even
date, the bail application filed by the applicant is hereby dismissed. File be
consigned to records, after due compliance or that papers be tagged with the
main file as the case may be.

Pronounced in open Court
20.07.2026

(Raj Gupta),
Sessions Judge, Palwal
(UID No.HR-0110)

Sandeep-1

Raj Gupta
Sessions Judge, Palwal.