

Witness present and duly sworn on 11.08.2026

Cross examination by Advocate for R2:-

My brother had lodged the complaint about accident. He was not eye witnessed to the incident. The person with me at the time of accident, had informed about accident to my brother over phone.

Question: Who was that person with you at the time of accident?

Ans: Srinivas, rider of the motorcycle.

2. He did not sustain more injuries. Immediately after accident, I shifted to Devanahalli Leena hospital, from there I came to Hosmat hospital. Srinivas took treatment in Devanahalli Leena hospital because he sustained only simple injuries. I do not remember while I was taking first aid treatment, whether I narrated history of injuries to the doctors or not. It is true to suggest that I have not produced any documents to substantiate that I received first aid treatment in Leena hospital. I can produce MLC of Leena hospital.

3. My brother and three friends accompanied me at the time of shifting to the Hosmat hospital. The persons who accompanied me, had informed about history of accident and my injuries to the doctors of the Hosmat hospital. My friends knew about the accident. It is true to suggest that after receiving information from me, they have given information to

the doctors. It is true to suggest that the information which was given by either my brother or my friends to the doctors is correct. It is true to suggest that as per wound certificate, I sustained injuries due to skid and fall.

4. It is false to suggest that in order to implicate Srinivas, my brother had lodged false complaint after 13 days of the incident. It is false to suggest that at the time of accident, Srinivas was not riding the motorcycle rather I was riding the motorcycle and fell on my own. It is false to suggest that if Srinivas had with me, he would have also sustained injuries from the accident.

5. Raghu S/o. Narayanappa is my friend and he was accompanied with me at the time of shifting to Hosmat hospital. My brother is RC owner of motorcycle on which I was proceeding at the time of accident. It is false to suggest that though Srinivas was not a rider, we falsely implicated him as a rider and we have shown Raghu was an eye witness, in order to get compensation.

6. I took first instance treatment in Hosmat hospital, thereafter I shifted to RMV hospital. It is true to suggest that I have not produced any discharge summary to substantiate that I took treatment in the above said hospitals. It is false to suggest that I sustained only simple injuries and not grievous injuries, therefore I have not produced any medical documents

before this court. It is false to suggest that I falsely stated as I spent Rs.2,00,000/-towards medical expenses. It is false to suggest that without producing any documents, I falsely stated that I was undergoing follow up treatment and taking bed rest. I can produce medical documents of Hosmat hospital.

7. I have not produced any documents to substantiate my avocation and income. It is false to suggest that I have not suffered from any permanent disability and I can lead my normal life as I did prior to the accident. It is false to suggest that I was not wearing helmet at the time of accident. It is false to suggest that in order to get compensation, without producing any police documents, I falsely deposed that I was wearing helmet at the time of accident.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Computerised to my dictation in the Open Court).

R.O.I. & A.C.

IIAddl. Judge