

**IN THE COURT OF SHRI VIKRANT KUMAR,
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE,
MOGA (UID No.PB00213).**

CNR No.PBMO010028472021
CIS Filing No.BA/1421/2021.
Date of order : 26.07.2021.

State Versus Baljit Singh son of Bhajan Singh, resident of
Gobindgarh Basti, Smadh Bhai, Tehsil
Baghapurana, District Moga.

----Applicant-accused

FIR No.123 dated 27.06.2021.
Under Sections : 457,380 IPC.
Police Station : Baghapurana.

Bail Application under Section 438 Cr.P.C.

Present:- Shri Anshuman Syal, Additional Public Prosecutor for State.
Shri Varinder Singh, Advocate for applicant-accused Baljit Singh

-.-.-.-

ORDER

The brief facts of the case, which require consideration for disposal of the present bail application are that present FIR has been lodged on the statement of Piara Singh son of Mander Singh on the allegations that on 26.06.2021 at about 9.00 p.m., he and his family members after taking meals went for sleep in the courtyard. Main door of the house was closed. At about 2.00 a.m. on hearing some noise, he woke up and saw that applicant-accused Baljit Singh along with Manjit Singh @ Ajay and Babu were searching in his room. He raised alarm. At this, all these accused ran away after scaling the wall. On checking, he found his purse containing about Rs.5,000/- and Samsung Galaxy A2 Core having Sim No.90411-93264 missing. In the process of scaling wall, applicant-accused Baljit Singh and Manjit Singh @ Ajay received injuries and they were taken in Ambulance-108 to Civil Hospital, Baghapurana.

Now, apprehending his arrest, the accused-applicant has filed the present bail application.

3. I have heard the learned counsel for the applicant-accused as well as learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

4. Present FIR was lodged by Piara Singh against applicant-accused Baljit Singh and Manjit Singh @ Ajay and Babbu. Co-accused Babbu Singh and Manjit Singh @ Ajay have already been arrested in this case on 29.06.2021 and 2.07.2021 respectively. On the basis of statement of co-accused Babbu Singh, currency notes of Rs.1,200/- have been recovered. Thus, custodial interrogation of accused-applicant is not required. In the considered opinion of this court, presence of the accused-applicant is required in this case to complete investigation, therefore, no useful purpose would be served by declining pre-arrest bail to him, particularly when he can be directed to join the investigation. Resultantly, the accused-applicant is directed to join investigation by presenting himself before Investigating officer and in the event of his arrest, he be released on interim bail on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of Investigating /Arresting Officer.

Now to come up on 9.08.2021, for awaiting the outcome of investigation.

Pronounced.
Dated :- 26.07.2021.

Jagjit Singh Panesar, Stenographer-I

(Vikrant Kumar)
Additional Sessions Judge
Moga (UID No.PB00213).