

S.Sl. No.675 of 2024

Order No. 25 dated 05.06.2026

Today is fixed for evidence.

Accused Sanjit Roy is produced from J/C.

Bail petition is filed on behalf of the accused.

Ld. Advocate for the accused submits that the prosecution is not keen to bring the corroborative and independent witnesses thus causing delay in a custody trial matter.

Ld. PP submits that evidence was held up for some time as PO was busy with SIR duty.

Perused the record.

It is found that the charge was framed on 28.02.2025 and since then 14 witnesses have already been examined.

I do not find any intentional delay on the part of the prosecution.

Considering the nature of the offence and the material available on record I am not inclined to grant bail to the accused at this stage as it might frustrate the progress of the trial. Prayer for bail is rejected.

To-date (06.06.2026) for further evidence and production.

Prosecution to be present with witness.

Dictated & corrected by me

Addl. Sessns. Judge, 1st Court,

Additional Sessions Judge, 1st Court,
Berhampore, Murshidabad
(JO Code : WB00811)