

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Murshidabad

Present : Sri Partha Pratim Chakraborty (JO-WB01240),
Sessions Judge, Murshidabad

Crl. Misc. Case No.2843/2026

Ref. : Raghunathganj P.S. Case No.846/2025 dated 26.06.2025
U/s.85/109(1)/3(5) of BNS & 4 D.P. Act.

1. Asahak Sk. @ Esa Haque Sk.
2. Jorina Bewa @ Jorina Bibi
3. Alomgir Sk @ Rajesh Sk.
4. Dulali Khatun
5. Habibur Sk. @ Rahaman @ Habibur Rahaman
6. Reshime Bibi @ Rasmi Bibi Accused/petitioner(s).

Vs.

The State

..... Opposite Party.

Order No.04 dated 17.07.2026

The application for anticipatory bail U/s.482 BNSS filed by the petitioners as named above is taken up for hearing.

It is submitted for the accused petitioners that they have been implicated in this case on false allegation and the Charge sheet being already submitted, custodial interrogation is not required.

It is also submitted by the Ld. Advocate for the petitioners that no such application for bail on the selfsame cause of action has ever been filed before or rejected by the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta or by this Court, to which, the Ld. P.P. expressed his agreement.

Ld. P.P., Murshidabad raises serious objection citing the seizure list and stated that it is the example of highest heinous offence as the accused persons tried to blaze the victim by pouring kerosene oil on her person.

Perused the FIR and the materials gathered in the C.D.

The present accused petitioner No.1 is the husband of the victim lady and their marriage was solemnized 3 years ago.

The other accused petitioners are the in-law members of the matrimonial family of the victim lady.

In this case investigation has been concluded and the Charge sheet has been submitted for the offence punishable **U/s.85/109(1)/3(5) of BNS & 4 D.P. Act.**

Considered the Injury report of Mili Khatun as cited by the Ld. P.P., Murshidabad as available in C.D.

Considering the nature of allegation made and the materials gathered and the fact that Charge sheet has already been submitted, it appears to me that custodial detention of the present accused petitioners will not serve any substantial purpose for the investigation.

Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the present accused petitioners stands **allowed.**

Accordingly, in the event of arrest of the petitioners in c/w the above noted P.S. case, they shall be released on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- each with two sureties of Rs.5,000/- each, subject to the satisfaction of the arresting officer.

If released on bail, the petitioners are directed to comply with the conditions mentioned in Section 482(2) of BNSS.

The Crl. Misc. Case is thus disposed of.

Dictd. & Cortd.
by me.

S. J., Msd.

Sessions Judge, Murshidabad