

**IN THE COURT OF SH SAMAR VISHAL, ADDITIONAL
SESSIONS JUDGE-02, SOUTH DISTRICT, SAKET COURTS, NEW
DELHI**

Bail Matter No. : 1361/2026
E FIR No. : 80032279/2026
Police Station : Mehrauli
under Section : 305/331(4)/317(2)/95/61(2)/3(5) BNS and 83(2) JJ
Act

IN THE MATTER OF:

State

...Non-Applicant
Through: Sh. Arun Kumar Singh
Ld. Additional Public Prosecutor
(IO / SI Amit Kumar PS Mehrauli)

V.

Laddan

Through: Mohd Iliyas
Ld. Counsel

DATE OF FILING OF APPLICATION : 04.07.2026
DATE OF ARGUMENTS : 10.07.2026
DATE OF PRONOUNCEMENT : 10.07.2026

ORDER

10.07.2026

1. This is the third application moved on behalf of accused Laddan seeking regular bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

2. It is stated in the bail application that the accused is in judicial custody since 19.04.2026. It is further stated that charge sheet has already been filed. It is further stated that the co-accused Sheikh

Minsar has already been granted bail by this court vide order dt. 03.07.2026. It is further stated that the accused has not been seen in the CCTV footage. It is further stated that he has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further stated that accused is aged about 31 years old and he is married having a minor child aged about 02 years. It is further stated that wife of the accused is pregnant of 8th month and is at the last stage of delivery of newly born baby.

3. It is further stated that no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the accused in JC as investigation qua him is completed. It is further stated that nothing material was recovered from the possession of the accused or at his instance. It is further stated that accused has clean antecedents and is not involved in any other criminal case. It is also stated that accused is plying E-rickshaw and is only bread earner of his family and there is no male member except him for their livelihood who is in JC. It is further stated that accused undertakes to abide by the conditions imposed upon the accused while granting bail.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has opposed the bail application on the ground that the offence is serious in nature, recovery of the case property has been made from present accused and accused may commit similar kind of offence again if he is released on bail.

5. After hearing both the sides, considering the period of custody of the accused Laddan and also the facts that investigation has been completed, charge sheet has been filed, cognizance has been taken, co-accused Sheikh has been granted bail and no previous criminal

involvement, I do not find any reason to keep the accused Laddan in further custody and deny him bail. Therefore, accused Laddan is admitted on bail subject to furnishing a personal bond in sum of Rs.25,000/- with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of Ld. Trial Court/Duty JMFC. Application is accordingly disposed of.

6. Any observation made here-in-above for the purpose of dealing with the contentions raised during the hearing of the bail application shall not be deemed to be an expression on the merits of the case. Trial Court Record, if any be sent to Ld. Trial Court forthwith.

7. Let a copy of this order be transmitted to through concerned jail superintendent, to the trial Court, Filing Counter- Filing and Bail Section. Further, let a copy of this order be given dasti to the pairakar through counsel, to the complainant through counsel and also to the IO for information and compliance, if any.

**Announced in the open
Court on 10.07.2026**

**(SAMAR VISHAL)
Additional Sessions Judge-02,
South, Saket Courts, New Delhi
10.07.2026**