

Form ‘A

In the Court of Ajay Mehta, Special Judge (Forests) District Shimla, HP.

Case Type	NDPS Act.
CNR No.HPSH	100012482024
CIS Filing No.	922/2024.
CIS Filing date	01.03.2024.
CIS Regd. No.	21/2024.
CIS Regd. date.	01.03.2024.
Date of Decision.	06.07.2026.

State of Himachal Pradesh.

Versus

1. Pradeep Chauhan son of Sh. Prakash Chand, R/o Yashoda Niwas near Power Corporation, BCS New Shimla, Tehsil and District Shimla, HP.
2. Ravi Sharma son of Sh. Naval Kishore, R/o Sahitya Sadan, Kangnadhar, near office of Pollution Control Board, Phase-3, BCS New Shimla, Tehsil and District Shimla, HP.

.....Accused Persons.

CHALLAN UNDER SECTIONS 21 & 29
OF NDPS ACT, 1985 IN FIR NO.11/2024
DATED 09.01.2024 POLICE STATION
WEST, DISTRICT SHIMLA, H.P.

For the State: Sh. Kapil Mohan Gautam, Ld. PP.

For the Accused
Pradeep Chauhan: Sh.T.K.Verma, Ld. Advocate.

For the Accused
Ravi Sharma: Sh. Bhupinderjit Kashyap, Ld. Advocate.

J U D G M E N T

The accused persons have faced trial before this Court for the commission of offences punishable under sections 21 & 29 of Narcotic Drugs & Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (to be referred hereinafter as NDPS Act).

2. Briefly, the case of the prosecution is that on 09.01.2024, PW-21 SI Sanjeev Kumar, Incharge of Special Cell Shimla along with PW-3 C. Rajeev, PW-4 C. Apurav and C. Neeraj in a private vehicle bearing No. HP-03C-5169 proceeded from Special Cell Office towards Tunnel 103, Tutikandi and Taradevi side for patrolling. On reaching near Goyal Motors on NH-5 at about 6.00 PM, they laid a nakka and started checking vehicles. In process, at about 6.30 PM one white coloured i-20 vehicle bearing registration No. HR-03P-1958 came there from Taradevi side. PW-21 SI Sanjeev signaled the vehicle to stop and on being stopped got parked by the side of the road. There were two persons sitting inside the vehicle. PW-21 SI Sanjeev on giving the introduction of the police officials inquired the persons sitting in the vehicle regarding the reasons for travelling, but they could not give satisfactory answer and were found to be frightened. On this unusual conduct, PW-21 SI Sanjeev raised a suspicion that they might be carrying some illegal or stolen articles. PW-4 C. Apurav was sent to nearby shops to fetch independent witnesses and on their appearance, PW-21 SI Sanjeev on giving the introduction of the police officials apprised them of the factual position. The independent witnesses disclosed their

names as PW-5 Paljor Negi and PW-9 Vishal Saini. In their presence the name and particulars of the persons sitting inside the vehicle were inquired. The person sitting on the driver seat disclosed his name as Pradeep Chauhan and the person besides him on the front seat as Ravi Sharma, the present accused persons. Thereafter, both the accused persons were got alighted from the vehicle. In their presence as well as in the presence of independent witnesses the vehicle bearing No. HR-03P-1958 was searched. During search from arms rest console, one knotted transparent plastic piece containing light brown coloured substance in the shape of dallinuma was recovered. On opening the knot, the light brown coloured substance on the basis of experience was found to be Chitta/Heroin which was also confirmed by the accused persons. The plastic piece was again packed in the same manner and was weighed on digital weighing machine and the weight of Chitta/Heroin along with plastic piece came out to 10.63 grams. The plastic piece containing Chitta/Heroin was then put in a white cloth parcel which was sealed with six seals of seal impression 'X' and the sample seal was taken on separate pieces of cloth, one of which is Ext.P-8/PW-3. PW-21 SI Sanjeev filled relevant columns of NCB-1 form in triplicate Ext.P-39/PW-12 and embossed seal impression 'X' over the same. The seal after use was given to PW-9 Vishal Saini. There were also found two big plastic bags on the rear seat of the vehicle which were containing 13 woolen lower and 12 sweat shirts. Accused Pradeep Chauhan produced RC and Insurance of the vehicle.

Thereafter, the parcel containing Chitta, sample seals, NCB-1 form in triplicate, RC, Insurance, the vehicle, its keys along with 25 lowers and 12 sweat shirts were taken into possession vide memo Ext.P-9/PW-3. A copy of memo free of cost was given to accused Pradeep Chauhan. PW-3 C. Rajeev during proceedings clicked photographs Ext.P-10/PW-3 to Ext.P-21/PW-3 with his mobile phone.

3. PW-21 SI Sanjeev Kumar prepared Ruqa Ext.P-23/PW-4 and with PW-4 C. Apurav sent the same to Police Station Boileauganj on the basis of which FIR Ext.P-38/PW-12 was registered. On registration of FIR the case file was handed over to PW-20 SI Randhir Singh for investigation, upon which PW-20 SI Randhir Singh along with PW-8 C. Jayant, HHG Dalip, HHG Ram Prakash and PW-4 C. Apurav proceeded to the spot. At spot, PW-21 SI Sanjeev Kumar handed over the case property, connected documents and the accused persons to PW-20 SI Randhir Singh vide inventory Ext.P-65/PW-20. PW-20 SI Randhir Singh prepared spot map Ext.P-66/PW-10 and recorded the statements of the witnesses as per their version. The accused persons were arrested and the information vide memos Ext.P-67/PW-20 and Ext.P-68/PW-20 was given to their family members.

4. After getting the accused persons medically examined at DDU Shimla, PW-20 SI Randhir Singh reached Police Station Boileauganj where he handed over the parcel containing Chitta and connected documents to PW-12

Inspector/SHO Deepak Thakur. He resealed the parcel containing Chitta/Heroin with three seals of seal impression 'K' and filled relevant columns of NCB-1 form in triplicate. Seal impression was embossed over NCB-1 form in triplicate. Sample seal was taken on separate pieces of cloth, one of which is Ext.P-40/PW-12. Regarding resealing, PW-12 issued reseal certificate Ext.P-41/PW-12. PW-12 handed over the case property and connected documents to PW-19 MHC/HC Anil Kumar who on entering the same in the malkhana register, copy of which is Ext.P-63/PW-19 deposited in the malkhana. PW-20 SI Randhir Singh also handed over the aforesaid plastic bags containing clothes, vehicle and its keys to PW-19 HC Anil Kumar who on making an entry in the malkhana register deposited the bags in the malkhana.

5. On 10.01.2024, PW-19 HC Anil Kumar handed over the case property, relevant documents, inventory of seized contraband Ext.P-42/PW-12 and application for certification of inventory Ext.P-43/PW-12 to PW-20 SI Randhir Singh for compliance of proceedings under section 52-A of NDPS Act. PW-20 SI Randhir Singh produced the case property and connected documents before Ld. ACJM (2) Shimla who certified the inventory vide order Ext.P-75/PW-20 and issued the certificate Ext.P-76/PW-20. The case property was sealed with Court seals and sample seals were taken on separate pieces of cloth, one of which is Ext.P-62/PW-19. During proceedings, PW-20 SI Randhir Singh clicked photographs Ext.P-69/PW-20

to Ext.P-73/PW-20. On completion of proceedings, PW-20 SI Randhir Singh handed over the case property and relevant documents to PW-19 HC Anil Kumar who deposit the same in the malkhana.

6. On 11.01.2024, PW-20 SI Randhir Singh prepared special report Ext.P-1/PW-1 and with PW-8 C. Jayant sent the same to Addl. SP Shimla who on making an endorsement over the same handed over to PW-1 HC Vishno Pratap who entered the same in the special report, a copy of which is Ext.P-2/PW-1.

7. On 11.01.2024 PW-19 HC Anil Kumar handed over the case property along with relevant documents to PW-10 LC Lata who deposited the same in SFSL Junga vide RC Ext.P-64/PW-19. On 24.01.2024, PW-18 C. Rahul brought the case property and relevant documents along with result Ext.P-60/PW-18 from SFSL Junga and handed over to the MHC.

8. On the request being made vide letter Ext.P-77/PW-20, the clerk of SDM Office Panchkulla produced the attested copies of vehicle HR-03P-1958 which were taken into possession vide memo Ext.P-37/PW-8. Accused Pradeep vide affidavit Ext.P-44/PW-13 taken into possession vide memo Ext.P-45/PW-13 disclosed that the aforesaid vehicle has been purchased by him from PW-2 Grupreet Singh Saini through affidavit Ext.P-3/PW-2. The relevant documents Form No. 28 Ext.P-4/PW-2, vehicle inquiry report Ext.P-5/PW-2, Aadhar Card of PW-2 Ext.P-6/PW-2 and NOC of the vehicle Ext.P-

7/PW-2 on being obtained were placed on record.

9. The CAF and CDRs of the mobile numbers used by the accused persons were obtained from the concerned companies as Ext.P-51/PW-15, Ext.P-53/PW-15, Ext.P-54/PW-15, Ext.P-57/PW-17 and Ext.P-58/PW-17 along with copy of Aadhar Card Ext.P-52/PW-15 and were placed on record. The copies of the GD entries and certificates issued under section 65-B of Indian Evidence Act were also obtained and placed on record. The statements of the witnesses were recorded as per their versions. On completion, this case against the accused persons.

10. On presence of the accused persons compliance under section 207 Cr.PC was made. On hearing learned counsel for the parties and perusal of the case file a prima-facie case for the commission of offences punishable under sections 21 & 29 of NDPS Act was made out against accused persons upon which charge was framed and put to them to which they denied and claimed trial.

11. The prosecution in order to bring home the guilt to the accused persons has examined as many as twenty-one witnesses. On close of prosecution evidence, the accused persons were examined under section 313 of Cr.PC, wherein while claiming false implication, they have pleaded innocence. However, no evidence has been led in defence by the accused persons.

12. I have heard the learned counsel for the

parties and perused the case file.

13. Following points arise for determination in this case:-

Point No.1	Whether the prosecution has proved beyond all reasonable doubt that on 09.01.2024, at about 8.30 PM near Goyal Motors on NH-5, the accused persons in pursuance to criminal conspiracy being hatched while travelling in vehicle bearing No. HR-03P-1958 were found in exclusive and conscious possession of 9.99 grams of Heroin, as alleged ?
Point No.2	Final Order.

14. For the reasons to be recorded hereinafter, the findings of this court on the aforesaid points are as under:-

Point No.1	No.
Final Order	The accused persons are acquitted as per the operative part of judgment.

REASONS FOR FINDINGS.

POINT NO.1.

15. On perusal of the evidence on record, it is safely said at the very outset that the prosecution has miserably failed to establish beyond all reasonable doubt that the alleged contraband was recovered from the exclusive and conscious possession of the accused persons.

16. The police officials who had proceeded from Special Cell Office at Shimla for patrolling and traffic checking,

as per the prosecution case, were PW-21 SI Sanjeev Kumar, PW-3 C. Rajeev, PW-4 C. Apurav Parmar and C. Neeraj Kumar. Out of these police officials, C. Neeraj has not been examined, whereas the others have been examined to establish the case presented against the accused persons. As per prosecution case, two independent witnesses were also associated in the search and seizure proceedings. Both these witnesses have been examined as PW-5 Paljor Negi and PW-9 Vishal Saini.

17. PW-5 Paljor Negi on stepping into the witness box denied the happening of the facts and circumstances in manner they are presented by the prosecution. PW-5 in his examination-in-chief stated that on 09.01.2024 at around 6.00 - 6.30 PM, when he was in his dhaba at Taradevi near Goyal Motors, four police officials along with two more persons in a vehicle came there and on disclosing the names of said two persons as Pradeep Chauhan and Ravi told that they have seized Chitta from them. Thereafter, the police obtained his signatures on certain documents regarding the contents of which he has feigned ignorance. On his said statement, he was cross-examined by the prosecution. During his cross-examination, the prosecution put its case to him, but he has categorically denied that he was associated by the police and during same the alleged contraband was recovered from the vehicle of the accused persons. Thus, his cross-examination by prosecution failed to adduce any fruitful results.

18. The above statement of PW-5 raises a doubt about the story so propounded by the prosecution. The accused

persons during their statements under section 313 of Cr.PC have categorically denied the recovery of alleged contraband from their conscious possession. As per accused persons, they have been falsely implicated in the case. A perusal of the case file shows that there however is no corroborating evidence on record which could show and establish that the accused persons have been falsely implicated, but this circumstance in itself is not sufficient to establish the facts and circumstances in manner they are presented by the prosecution. Keeping in view the consequences attached with the proof of recovery of contraband, the prosecution is obliged to establish the charge framed against the accused persons beyond all reasonable doubt. As stated above, a perusal of the evidence adduced on record by prosecution shows that the prosecution has failed to discharge its said obligation.

19. The fact that PW-5 has completely turned hostile shows that it is doubtful that the recovery has been effected by the police in manner is claimed. This inference so drawable from the testimony of PW-5 requires close scrutinization of the other material evidence. On perusal of the other evidence with all care and caution it is safely said that the inference so raised is being strengthened by the infirmities appearing in the said evidence.

20. PW-3 C. Rajeev, PW-4 C. Apurav and PW-21 SI Sanjeev Kumar by way of their testimonies made in the examination-in-chief have tried to support the case of the prosecution in manner the same is presented. The another

independent witness as PW-9 Vishal Saini in his examination-in-chief has deposed in manner which lends credence to the testimonies of aforesaid police officials appearing in their examination-in-chief. But, when their cross-examination is read with each other and other facts and circumstances on record, it becomes highly doubtful that the alleged contraband in actual would have been recovered during the search and seizure proceedings presented by the prosecution.

21. PW-9 in his examination-in-chief however supported the case of the prosecution that in his presence during search of the vehicle of the accused persons, alleged contraband kept in transparent plastic packet was recovered from the arms rest console, but while making said statement in examination-in-chief failed to state that PW-5 Paljor Negi was also present at the spot during alleged search and seizure proceedings. He during his cross-examination however has stated that the owner of the momo's shop was also present at the spot, but failed to state specifically that it was PW-5. PW-9 has stated that he has also remained associated with the police in one more case under NDPS Act. Further, stated that the police official who had visited his shop was known to him. Similarly, PW-5 has stated that he has remained prosecution witness in other cases under NDPS Act. On the basis of this evidence, it is submitted by the defence that both the independent witnesses are stock witnesses as such their deliberate association renders the prosecution case highly improbable and even doubtful. To this effect a reliance has

been placed by the defence on a case of our own Hon'ble High Court titled **Paramjeet Singh versus State of HP Criminal Appeal No. 1 of 2017 decided on 16.09.2023**. The above evidence with the case law cited therefore raises a doubt regarding the reliability of the case as presented. When we move further, it becomes quite certain that the recovery in manner is put forth is a highly doubtful circumstance.

22. PW-3 has stated that on leaving SIU office they directly went to Taradevi and did not stop anywhere on the way to Taradevi. PW-4 has stated that on leaving SIU office, they went to lift parking, 103 Tunnel, ISBT and Taradevi. To this effect PW-21 has stated that after leaving SIU office they went towards old bus stand, 103 Tunnel and then Taradevi. This makes it quite clear that all the witnesses are deposing quite contrarily about the places through which they had patrolled before reaching Taradevi. Further, all these witnesses have stated that during laying of nakka at Taradevi they had checked about 3-4 vehicles. Interestingly, no record has been placed on record showing and establishing that any such nakka in actual had been laid and during same some vehicles were checked. It is admitted by all these witnesses that no record regarding checking of the vehicles was maintained by them. In order to justify the official duty for which they had departed the office, they were required to maintain some record of the duty performed by them. In the absence of any such record, it appears to be quite doubtful that the police officials in actual had laid nakka and it was during the same that the vehicle of

the accused persons appeared and on interception and search the alleged recovery was effected.

23. PW-21 has stated that it was the dashboard of the vehicle from where he started the checking of the vehicle. PW-4 however has stated on the same lines, but PW-3 has stated that he cannot tell as to which part of the vehicle was firstly checked by PW-21. When PW-3 is stated to be accompanying the other police officials at the relevant time, there was no occasion for him to feign ignorance about the said proceedings. PW-9 when was inquired about the same fact told that the police started checking the vehicle from its doors. Thus, PW-9 is showing that the police started the checking of the vehicle from the doors and not the dashboard as is alleged by PW-4 and PW-21. This raises a doubt about the proceedings so presented regarding search and seizure. PW-9 has further stated that the police before conducting the search of the vehicle had also conducted the personal search of the accused persons. Interestingly, this neither is the case of the prosecution nor is so stated by PW-3, PW-4 and PW-21. This clearly shows that it is doubtful that any such thing in actual would have taken place at the spot.

24. PW-4 has stated that PW-21 firstly prepared the seizure memo and thereafter filled the relevant columns of NCB-1 form. PW-21 to this effect has stated that he firstly filled the NCB-1 form and thereafter prepared the seizure memo. As per prosecution case, on recovery of the contraband, the same was sealed in a cloth parcel and thereafter sample seal was

drawn and then NCB-1 form was filled in. It was thereafter that the seizure memo was prepared. This also takes place in the same manner as is presented by the prosecution. But, PW-4 who is a police official is specific that the seizure memo was prepared prior to filling of the columns of NCB-1 form. This evidence therefore strengthens the inference as raised above that it is highly doubtful that the contraband has been recovered in manner the case has been presented.

25. PW-4 has stated that he left the spot with Ruqa by taking lift in some vehicle. When PW-3 was confronted with this circumstance, stated that PW-4 had left the spot with Ruqa in his own vehicle. Whereas, PW-21 has feigned ignorance about the fact as to how PW-4 went with Ruqa. If PW-4 had left in his vehicle as stated by PW-3, there was no occasion for PW-4 and PW-21 to depose in above manner. This not only raises a doubt about the leaving of PW-4 the spot with Ruqa, but also makes the very case of the prosecution doubtful. The manner in which PW-3 has deposed during his cross-examination makes it quite clear that it is highly doubtful that the recovery would have been effected in alleged manner. PW-3 during cross-examination has stated that he cannot tell as to which part of the vehicle was firstly checked by PW-21. Further, stated, he cannot tell as to how much time was consumed by PW-21 in checking the vehicle of the accused persons. Further, stated that he cannot tell as to at what time the columns of NCB-1 form were filled in by PW-21. Further, stated that he cannot tell where the Ruqa was scribed by PW-21. This shows

that PW-3 is feigning ignorance about the proceedings which are of material nature alleged to have taken place at the spot. Thus, he is showing ignorance about the material aspect of the case. This only shows that it is doubtful that the police had laid any such nakka and during same the vehicle of the accused persons appeared and on search alleged contraband was recovered.

26. The other evidence is regarding the proceedings took place after the alleged search and seizure. This evidence is of no consideration to prove the factum of search and seizure.

27. In view of above evidence, it becomes highly doubtful that the alleged contraband would have been recovered from the conscious possession of the accused persons while travelling in the aforesaid vehicle. The testimonies of the material witnesses are full of contradictions and infirmities which go to the root of the case. On the basis of said evidence, it cannot be conveniently said that the prosecution would have succeeded in establishing the charge framed against the accused persons.

28. Resultantly, the prosecution has miserably failed to establish with cogent, reliable and trustworthy evidence that on the alleged date, time and place the accused persons in pursuance to a criminal conspiracy were found in exclusive and conscious possession of alleged contraband while travelling in aforesaid vehicle. Hence, point No. 1 is answered in negative and against the prosecution.

FINAL ORDER

29. In view of the findings on point No.1, the accused persons Pradeep Chauhan and Ravi Sharma are acquitted of the commission of offences punishable under sections 21 & 29 of the NDPS Act.

30. The case property after the expiry of period of appeal is ordered to be destroyed and in the event of an appeal being filed, the same be dealt with as per the orders of the Hon'ble Appellate Court. The file after due completion be consigned to the record room.

Announced and signed in the open Court today, on this 6th day of July 2026.

(Ajay Mehta)
Special Judge (Forests) Shimla, H.P.

(P.K)

Form 'B'

Date of Offence	09.01.2024.
Date of FIR	09.01.2024.
Date of Charge sheet	01.03.2024.
Date of framing of charges	21.09.2024.
Date of commencement of evidence	03.12.2024.
Date on which judgment is reserved	12.06.2026
Date of the judgment	06.07.2026.
Date of the sentencing order, if any,	-

Accused Details: -

Rank of the accused	Name of the accused	Date of arrest	Date of release on bail.	Offence charged with	Whether acquitted or convicted	Sentence imposed	Period of detention undergone during the trial for purpose of section 428 Cr.P.C.
1.	Pradeep Chauhan	10.01.2024	27.01.2024	21 & 29 NDPS Act.	Acquitted.	-	-
2.	Ravi Sharma	10.01.2024	07.03.2024	21 & 29 NDPS Act.	Acquitted	-	-

FORM 'C'

LIST OF PROSECUTION/DEFENCE/COURT WITNESSES

A. Prosecution:

Rank	Name	Nature of evidence (Eye witness, Police witness, Expert witness, Medical witness, Panch witness, other witness)
PW-1	Sh. HC Vishno Pratap	Police witness

PW-2	Sh. Gurpreet Singh	Independent witness.
PW-3	C.Rajeev	Police witness.
PW-4	C.Apurav Parmar	Police witness.
PW-5	Sh. Puljor Negi	independent witness.
PW-6	C. Karan Deep	Police witness.
PW-7	C.Lokinder	Police witness.
PW-8	C.Jayant	Police witness.
PW-9	Sh. Vishal	Independent witness.
PW-10	LC Lata	Police witness.
PW-11	Sh.Kailash Chand	Independent witness.
PW-12	Inspector Deepak	Police witness.
PW-13	C. Pankaj	Police witness.
PW-14	C.Rahul	Police witness.
PW-15	Sh.Devinder Verma	Independent witness.
PW-16	Sh. Praveen Chauhan	Independent witness.
PW-17	Sh. Arun Mishra	Independent witness.
PW-18	C.Rahul	Police witness.
PW-19	HC Anil Kumar	Police witness.
PW-20	SI Randhir Singh	Police witness.
PW-21	SI Sanjeev Kumar	Police witness.

LIST OF PROSECUTION/DEFENCE/COURT EXHIBITS

A. Prosecution:

Sr. No.	Exhibit Number	Description.
1.	Ext.P-1/PW-1	Special report.
2.	Ext.P-2/PW-1	Copy of special register.
3.	Ext.P-3/PW-2	Affidavit.
4.	Ext.P-4/PW-2	Application for NOC.
5.	Ext.P-5/PW-2	Vehicle inquiry report.

6.	Ext.P-6/PW-2	Copy of Aadhar Card.
7.	Ext.P-7/PW-2	NOC of the vehicle.
8.	Ext.P-8/PW-3	Sample seal 'X'.
9.	Ext.P-9/PW-3	Seizure memo.
10.	Ext.P-10/PW-3 Ext.P-21/PW-3	to Photographs.
11.	Ext.P-22/PW-3	Certificate u/s 65-B of IE Act
12.	Ext.P-23/PW-4	Ruqa
13.	Ext.P-25/PW-5	Statement of Paljor Negi
14.	Ext.P-26/PW-5 Ext.P-31/PW-26	to Rapats.
15.	Ext.P-32/PW-6	Certificate u/s 65-B of IE Act.
16.	Ext.P-33/PW-7 Ext.P-34/PW-7	& Rapats.
17.	Ext.P-35/PW-7	Certificate u/s 65-B of IE Act.
18.	Ext.P-36/PW-8	Copy of Aadhar Card of accused Pradeep Chauhan.
19.	Ext.P-37/PW-8	Memo regarding taking into possession the documents/NOC of the vehicle.
20.	Ext.P-38/PW-12	FIR.
21.	Ext.P-39/PW-12	NCB-1 form in triplicate.
22.	Ext.P-40/PW-12	Sample seal 'K'.
23.	Ext.P-41/PW-12	reseal certificate.
24.	Ext.P-42/PW-12	Inventory of seized contraband.
25.	Ext.P-43/PW-12	Application for certification of correctness of inventory.
26.	Ext.P-44/PW-13	Affidavit/ undertaking.
27.	Ext.P-45/PW-13	Memo regarding taking into possession the affidavit/undertaking.

28.	Ext.P-46/PW-14 to Ext.P-48/PW-14	Rapats.
29.	Ext.P-49/PW-14	Certificate u/s 65-B of IE Act.
30.	Ext.P-50/PW-15	Requisition letter of police.
31.	Ext.P-51/PW-15	CAF of mobile No. 80911-78932
32.	Ext.P-52/PW-15	Copy of Aadhar Card.
33.	Ext.P-53/PW-15	CAF of Mobile No.80914-01617
34.	Ext.P-54/PW-15	CDR of both mobile numbers.
35.	Ext.P-55/PW-17	Certificate u/s 65-B of IE Act.
36.	Ext.P-56/PW-17	Requisition letter of police.
37.	Ext.P-57/PW-17	CAF.
38.	Ext.P-58/PW-17	CDRs.
39.	Ext.P-59/PW-17	Certificate u/s 65-B of IE Act.
40.	Ext.P-60/PW-18	SFSL report.
41.	Ext.P-61/PW-19	Certificate u/s 65-B of IE Act.
42.	Ext.P-62/PW-19	Sample seal 'Court'.
43.	Ext.P-63/PW-19	Copy of malkhana register.
44.	Ext.P-64/PW-19	Copy of RC.
45.	Ext.P-65/PW-20	Inventory list.
46.	Ext.P-66/PW-20	Spot map.
47.	Ext.P-67/PW-20 & Ext.P-68/PW-20	Arrest memos.
48.	Ext.P-69/PW-20 to Ext.P-73/PW-20	Photographs.
49.	Ext.P-74/PW-20	Certificate u/s 65-B of IE Act.
50.	Ext.P-75/PW-20	Order of Ld. ACJM (2) Shimla.
51.	Ext.P-76/PW-20	Certificate.
52.	Ext.P-77/PW-20	Request letter.
53.	Ext.P-78/PW-20	Certificate u/s 65-B of IE Act.

B. Defence:

Sr. No.	Exhibit	Description
1.		

C. Court Exhibits:

Sr. No.	Exhibit Number	Description
1.	-	-

D. Material Objects:

Sr. No.	Material object No.	Description.
1.	MO-1	Parcel.
2.	MO-2	Plastic Pouch.
3.	MO-3	Chitta.

(Ajay Mehta)
Special Judge (Forests) Shimla, HP.

Particulars of accused and surety under Section 437-A CrPC :-

Accused: Accused Pradeep Chauhan son of Sh. Prakash Chand, R/o Yashoda Niwas near Power Corporation, BCS New Shimla, Tehsil and District Shimla, HP. Personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- furnished on 11.06.2026 which will be valid for a period of six months.

Surety: Surety Ashok Kumar son of Sh. Ramesh Kumar, R/o Salon (74) Mandi, HP. Surety bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- furnished on 11.06.2026 which will be valid for a period of six months.

Accused: Accused Ravi Sharma son of Sh. Naval Kishore R/o Shiv Kunj, Lower Shiv Nagar, Kasumpti Shimla, HP. Personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- furnished on 12.06.2026 which will be valid for a period of six months.

Surety: Surety Ashok Kumar son of Sh. Ramesh Kumar, R/o Salon (74) Mandi, HP. Surety bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- furnished on 12.06.2026 which will be valid for a period of six months.

(Ajay Mehta)
Special Judge (Forests) Shimla, HP.