



In the Court of the Principal Sessions Judge, Madurai.

Present : THIRU. M.SAISARAVANAN., M.L.,

Principal Sessions Judge, Madurai.

Thursday, this the 4th day of June, 2026.

CrI.M.P.No.2526/2026 & 2527/2026.

CNR No.TNMD010032402026 TNMD010032412026

Rajapandi, S/o.Jeyakodi

... Petitioner/Accused
in CrI.M.P.No.2526/2026.

Uthayakumar, S/o.Kasimayan

... Petitioner/Accused
in CrI.M.P.No.2527/2026.

Vs

State through the Inspector of Police,

... Respondent/Complainant

Karimedu P.S. in Cr.No.187/2026

in both e-petitions.

This e-petitions coming on today for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.M.Jayapal, Advocate for the petitioners in both petitions, and of Public Prosecutor for the State, this court passed the following:

Common Order

1. Anticipatory Bail applications u/s 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS).

2. Heard both.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners/accused in Cr.M.P.Nos.2526/2026 and 2527/2026 would submit that this is 2nd petition of the petitioners and that the respondent police has registered a case against the petitioners and others in Crime No.187/2026 for the offences u/s 296(b), 115(2), 308(4) and 351(3) of BNS. The petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any offences as alleged. Injured discharged from the hospital. Investigation is almost completed. There is no similar petition is filed or pending before any superior court. The petitioners apprehend arrest at the hands of police. The petitioners are ready to abide by any condition imposed by this Court. Hence, the petition is to be allowed.

4. Per contra, the learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the defacto-complainant is an auto driver. On 19.05.2026, all the accused persons threatened the defacto-complainant demanding mamool amount Rs.100/- per day. Again on

20.05.2026, all the accused persons abused the defacto-complainant demanding mamool, assaulted him by hands and threatened him by showing aruval. A1 alone remanded to judicial custody. Investigation is pending and objected to allow the petition.

5. Rival contentions are taken into consideration. The alleged offences against the petitioners are U/s. 296(b), 115(2), 308(4) and 351(3) of BNS. This is 2nd petition of the petitioners. On perusal of F.I.R., it seems that the petitioners along with another accused threatened the defacto-complainant and also assaulted him demanding Rs.100/- per day as mamool. There is no change of circumstances after dismissal of earlier petition. Custodial interrogation of the petitioners is required for proper investigation. Investigation is not yet completed. Considering the above said facts, the nature of offences and strong objections raised on the side of prosecution, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners at this stage.

In the result, both petitions in Cr.M.P.Nos.2526/2026 and 2527/2026 are dismissed.

Pronounced by me in the Open Court on the 4th day of June, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Madurai

Copy to : The J.M.No.5. Madurai.