

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Murshidabad

Present : Karim-Ur-Reza
Sessions Judge, Murshidabad (In-charge)
(JO-WB00812)

Crl. Misc. Case No.3003/2026

Ref. : Nowda P.S. Case No.175/2026 dated 17.05.2026
U/s.85/89/117(2) of BNS.

1. Samim Aktar @ Samim Aktar MandalAccused/Petitioner(s)
Vs.
The StateOpposite Party.

Order No. 2 dated 16.06.2026

The application for bail for the above named accused petitioner U/s.483 of BNSS is taken up for hearing.

Heard the Ld. Advocate for the accused petitioner and the Ld. P.P., Murshidabad.

It is also submitted by the Ld. Advocate for the accused petitioner that no such application for bail on the selfsame cause of action has been filed or rejected by Hon'ble Court, to which the Ld. P.P. expressed his agreement.

Ld. P.P., Murshidabad vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail by referring to the Medical documents in the C.D.

Ld. Advocate for the defacto complainant has appeared by Vokatatnama with petition of objection and has submitted that the accused petitioner is threatening the victim to withdraw the case against him.

Perused the materials in case record and the C.D.

The present accused petitioner is the husband of the victim lady and their marriage was solemnized 5 years back.

The allegation made in the F.I.R. is grave and serious in nature.

On careful perusal of the Medical reports, available in C.D., it appears that there are sufficient materials against the accused for committing the offence punishable U/s.89 of BNSS.

Considering the nature and gravity of offence alleged, materials in C.D., particularly the Medical documents, I am of the opinion that this is not a fit case to enlarge the accused petitioner on bail.

Accordingly, the prayer of the petitioner for bail U/s.483 BNSS stands **rejected** at this stage.

The Crl. Misc. case is thus disposed of.

Dictd. & Cortd.
by me.

S. J., Msd (I/C)

Sessions Judge, Murshidabad (I/C)