

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Murshidabad

Present : Sri Satya Arnab Ghosal (JO-WB00809),
Sessions Judge, Murshidabad (In-Charge)

Crl. Misc. Case No.2529/2026

Ref. : Lalgola P.S. Case No.1077/2025 dated 20.12.2025
U/s.85/115(2)/117(2)/109 of BNS & 3/4 of D.P. Act.

1. Hasina Khatun		Accused/petitioner(s).
	Vs.	
The State		 Opposite Party.

Order No.03 dated 29.06.2026

This is an application for anticipatory bail U/s.482 BNSS.

It is submitted by the Ld. Advocate for the petitioner that no such application for bail on the selfsame cause of action has ever been filed before or rejected by the Hon'ble Court, Calcutta or by this Court, to which the Ld. P.P., Murshidabad expressed his agreement.

I have heard both sides.

The earlier application for anticipatory bail, filed on behalf of the present accused petitioner has been rejected.

Now, the Charge sheet has been filed.

According to the Ld. P.P., Murshidabad, the present application is not maintainable.

I do not see why the accused petitioner may will be said to be still under apprehension of arrest.

It has been urged at the Bar that all the other accused persons are on bail including the husband.

Therefore, there is no legal reason to disallow the instant application for anticipatory bail, filed for the petitioner who happens to be the sister-in-law of the projected victim lady.

This is a fit case for anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail U/s.482 of BNSS stands **allowed**.

In the event of arrest of the accused petitioner as named above, in c/w the above noted P.S. case, she shall be released on furnishing bail bond of Rs.1,000/- with two sureties of Rs.500/- each, subject to the satisfaction of the O.C. / I.C. of the concerned police station.

If released on bail, the petitioner is directed to comply with the conditions mentioned in Section 482(2) of BNSS.

T.C.R. & C.D. be returned.

The Crl. Misc. Case is thus disposed of.

Dictd. & Cortd.
by me.

S. J., Msd. (I/c.)

Sessions Judge, Murshidabad
(In-Charge)