

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Murshidabad

Present : Karim-Ur-Reza (JO-WB00812)
Sessions Judge, Murshidabad (In-charge)

Crl. Misc. Case No.2530 of 2026

Ref. : Murshidabad P.S Case No.430/2026 dated 15.05.2026
U/s. 126(2)/115(2)/118(1)/117(2)/109/3(5) of BNS.

1. Samiul Sk	
2. Sahabuddin Sk @ Sahabul Sk	
3. Arab Ali @ Arob Ali	
4. Kayem Sk @ Kaimuddin Sk	
5. Yusuf Sk	
6. Gedu Sk	Accused/Petitioner(s)
Vs.	
The State	Opposite Party.

Order No.02 dated 16.06.2026

The application for anticipatory bail for the above named accused petitioners U/s.482 of BNSS is taken up for hearing.

Heard the Ld. Advocate for the accused petitioners and the Ld. P.P. Murshidabad.

It is also submitted by the Ld. Advocate for the accused petitioners that no such application for bail on the selfsame cause of action has been filed or rejected by Hon'ble Court, to which the Ld. P.P. expressed his agreement.

Ld. P.P. Murshidabad has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Ld. Advocate, appearing for the defacto complainant, by filing Vakalatnama, raises objection to the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Perused the materials in case record and the C.D.

I have gone through the statement of the alleged injured persons, recorded U/s.180 of BNSS. The injury reports are available in the C.D. which reflects that the injury, suffered by the injured victims is simple in nature.

However, on going through the F.I.R., materials in C.D. and considering the nature of injury and the pivotal role played by accused petitioner Nos. 1 & 2, I am not inclined to allow the prayer for anticipatory bail in respect of accused petitioner Nos. 1 & 2. Accordingly, prayer for anticipatory bail U/s.482 of BNSS in respect of accused **petitioner No. 1 & 2** stands **rejected**.

With regard to the **petitioner Nos.3 to 6**, considering the materials in case record and the C.D., I am of the opinion that custodial interrogation of the present accused petitioner Nos.3 to 6 is not necessary for the purpose of investigation. Accordingly, the prayer of the **petitioner Nos.3 to 6** for anticipatory bail U/s.482 BNSS stands **allowed**.

Hence, in the event of arrest of the above named **petitioner Nos.3 to 6** in connection with above noted P.S. case, they shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of **Rs.5,000/- each** with two sureties of **Rs.2,500/- each**, subject to the satisfaction of arresting officer.

If released on anticipatory bail, the **petitioner Nos.3 to 6** shall comply with the conditions mentioned in Section 482(2) of BNSS.

The Crl. Misc. case is thus disposed of.

Dictd. & Cortd.
by me.

S. J., Msd (I/C)

Sessions Judge, Murshidabad
(In-Charge)