

32 CC NI ACT / 7307/2026

MANMEET SINGH BINDRA PROP Vs.CHANAKYA PARUTHI PROP

23.05.2026

Fresh complaint has been received by way of e-assignment. It be checked and registered.

**Present:** Mr. Rahul Tandon, Ld. Counsel for complainant (Through VC).

The instant complaint has been filed u/s 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881.

Complaint, affidavit of evidence and other annexed documents perused. Prima facie, there is sufficient material to proceed further with the matter. Arguments on the point of summoning heard. Prima facie the complaint is within limitation. In view of the averments made in the complaint, this court has the territorial jurisdiction to entertain this complaint. Accordingly, this court takes cognizance of the said offence u/s 138 N.I.Act, 1881.

Further, in the case of *A.C. Narayanan Vs. State of Maharashtra (2014) 11 SCC 790*, Hon'ble Supreme Court of India has held that:

“29. From a conjoint reading of [Sections 138, 142 and 145](#) of the N.I. Act as well as [Section 200](#) of the Code, it is clear that it is open to the Magistrate to issue process on the basis of the contents of the complaint, documents in support thereof and the affidavit submitted by the complainant in support of the complaint...

“33.4 In the light of [section 145](#) of N.I Act, it is open to the Magistrate to rely upon the verification in the form of affidavit filed by the complainant in support of the complaint under [Section 138](#) of the N.I Act and the Magistrate is neither mandatorily obliged to call upon the complainant to remain present before the Court, nor to examine the complainant or his witness upon oath for taking the decision whether or not to issue process on the complaint under [Section 138](#) of the N.I. Act.”

Following the law laid down in *A.C. Narayanan (supra)*, complaint, affidavit of evidence and other annexed documents considered. Thus, there is no

need to examine the complainant's evidence for the purpose of issuance of process. Complaint, affidavit of evidence and other annexed documents perused. **After perusal of the entire record, the court is of the considered opinion that prima facie a case punishable u/s 138 N.I.Act, 1881 is made out against accused.**

Accordingly, issue summons to **accused through post/approved courier/other permissible (including dasti, if requested) modes on filing of PF & RC. PF & RC to be filed within 7 days from today.**

Ahlmad is directed to make the following endorsement on the summons in terms of the guidelines of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Sanjabij Tari Vs. Kishore S. Borcar and Anr. (2025 INSC 1158) :***

*(a) If the accused pays the cheque amount before recording of their evidence (Defence Evidence), the Trial Court may allow the compounding of the offence without imposing any cost or penalty on the accused.*

*(b) If the accused makes payment of the cheque amount post the recording of Defence Evidence, but prior to the pronouncement of judgement, the Court may allow compounding of the offence on payment of additional 5 per cent of the cheque amount with the Legal Services Authority or such other authority as the Court deems fit...*

**Further, the process server is directed that in the event of non availability of the accused or house/office/premises is found to be locked or accused refuses to accept the service, process be served through affixation.**

Put up for appearance of accused/ furnishing of bail bonds/ framing of notice on 01.09.2026.

**(Danveer)**  
**Judicial Magistrate First Class-04, NI Act**  
**23.05.2026**