

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Murshidabad

Present : Shri Sanjeev Kumar Sharma (JO WB00706)
Sessions Judge, Murshidabad
(In-Charge)

Crl. Misc. Case No.1256/2025

Ref. : Raghunathganj P.S. Case No.856/2025 dated 30.06.2025
U/s.25(1)(a)/35 of Arms Act.

1. Royel Sk. @ Royel Akter
2. Mehedi Hasan @ Mehedi Accused/petitioner(s).
Vs.
The State Opposite Party.

Order No.03 dated 17.04.2026

At the very outset, Ld. Advocate for the accused petitioners submits that on earlier occasion, prayer for anticipatory bail of the accused petitioner No.1 Royel Sk. @ Royel Aktar was rejected by this Court. So, he does not wish to press the application for anticipatory bail in respect to the present accused petitioner No.1 Royel Sk. @ Royel Aktar. The Ld. Advocate for the accused petitioner has made an endorsement on the petition to that effect.

Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail in respect to the present accused petitioner No.1 Royel Sk. @ Royel Aktar stands **rejected** being **not maintainable**.

Now, the application for anticipatory bail U/s.482 of BNSS, filed for the present accused petitioner No.2. Mehedi Hasan @ Mehedi is taken up for hearing.

Heard the Ld. lawyer for the accused petitioners and the Ld. P.P., Murshidabad.

The Ld. Advocate for the present accused petitioner No.2 submits that this is an old case of 2025 and by this time, the investigation must have been progressed considerably and custodial interrogation of the accused petitioner No.2. Mehedi Hasan @ Mehedi is not necessary and he prays for granting him anticipatory bail.

It is also submitted by the Ld. Advocate for the petitioners that no such application for bail on the selfsame cause of action has ever been filed before or rejected by the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta, to which, the Ld. P.P. expressed his agreement.

Ld. P.P., Murshidabad submits that a number of fire arms and ammunitions were seized in this case and, earlier, prayer for anticipatory bail of similarly circumstanced two accused persons were rejected by this Court.

Perused the T.C.R. and the C.D.

The present accused petitioner No.2. Mehedi Hasan @ Mehedi is found named in F.I.R. The investigation is still going on.

Having regard to the nature of the case, I do not find it fit to grant anticipatory to the present accused petitioner No.2. Mehedi Hasan @ Mehedi.

Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the present accused petitioner No.2. Mehedi Hasan @ Mehedi stands **rejected**.

Return the C.D. and T.C.R.

The Crl. Misc. Case is thus disposed of.

Dictd. & Cortd.
by me.

S. J., Msd. (I/C.)

Sessions Judge, Murshidabad
(In-Charge)