

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Murshidabad

Present : Smt. Moon Chakraborty (JO WB00835)  
Sessions Judge, Murshidabad  
(In-Charge)

Crl. Misc. Case No.1250/2026

Ref. : Kandi P.S. Case No.515/2025 dated 25.10.2025  
U/s.25(1)(a) of Arms Act.

1. Tuksarul Sk. .... Accused/petitioner(s).  
Vs.  
The State ..... Opposite Party.

Order No.04 dated 06.05.2026

The application for anticipatory bail U/s.482 of BNSS, filed by the petitioner as named above is taken up for hearing.

Heard the Ld. lawyer for the accused petitioner and the Ld. P.P., Murshidabad.

Ld. Defence Counsel submits that the co-accused has been granted bail and this accused has been falsely implicated in this case.

It is also submitted by the Ld. Advocate for the petitioner that no such application for bail on the selfsame cause of action has ever been filed before or rejected by the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta or by this Court, to which, the Ld. P.P. expressed his agreement.

Ld. P.P. has raised strong objection to the prayer for anticipatory bail and submits that prayer for anticipatory bail of a co-accused on same footing, had been denied considering the gravity of offence alleged and this accused is also named in F.I.R.

This day the T.C.R. is not available before this Court.

Perused the F.I.R. and other materials in the Case Diary including the Seizure list in respect of other co-accused persons.

Considering the nature and gravity of offence alleged, I am of the view that this is not a fit case to grant pre-arrest bail to the present accused petitioner.

Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail stands **rejected**.

The Crl. Misc. Case is thus disposed of.

Dictd. & Cortd.  
by me.

S. J., Msd. (I/C.)

Sessions Judge, Murshidabad  
(In-Charge)