

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Murshidabad

Present : Karim-Ur-Reza
Sessions Judge, Murshidabad (In-charge)
(JO-WB00812)

Crl. Misc. Case No.1244/2026

Ref. : Domkal P.S. Case No.979/2025 dated 16.11.2025
U/s.85/80(2)/117(2)/3(5) of BNS & 3/4 of D.P. Act.

1. Ripon MondalAccused/Petitioner(s)
Vs.
The StateOpposite Party.

Order No.2 dated 19.03.2026

The application for bail for the above named accused petitioner U/s.483 of BNSS is taken up for hearing.

Heard the Ld. Advocate for the accused petitioner and the Ld. P.P., Murshidabad.

It is submitted for the present accused petitioner that Charge sheet has already been submitted and some other co-accused persons are already on bail and considering the same and the period of detention of the present accused in custody, he may be granted bail.

It is also submitted by the Ld. Advocate for the accused petitioner that no such application for bail on the selfsame cause of action has been filed or rejected by Hon'ble Court, to which the Ld. P.P. expressed his agreement.

Ld. P.P., Murshidabad vehemently opposed the prayer for bail by drawing the attention of this Court to the materials, available in C.D.

The Ld. Advocate, appearing for the defacto complainant by filing Vokatnama with petition of objection, has raised strong objection to the prayer for bail by drawing attention of this Court to the nature of allegation made and materials in C.D. and to the remarks made in the Post Mortem Examination report.

Perused the F.I.R. and other materials in C.D.

The present accused petitioner is the husband of the alleged victim lady and their marriage was solemnized 1½ years ago.

I have gone through the F.I.R. and other materials in C.D. including the Post Mortem Examination report and the remarks made therein, as observed by the autopsy surgeon at the time of autopsy of the dead body of the deceased victim lady.

The allegation made in the F.I.R. is that since after few days of her marriage with the present accused, the deceased victim lady was subjected to torture, both physically and mentally over demand of more dowry and on 15.11.2025, she was assaulted by the accused persons with sharp cutting weapons and was shifted to hospital and subsequently, she succumbed to her injuries at hospital.

I have carefully perused the order of the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta dated **06.02.2026 in C.R.M.(A) 178 of 2026** wherein the Hon'ble Court has been pleased to observe about the **overt act on the part of the present accused in paragraph No.3.**

It also appears that prayer for bail of this accused petitioner U/s.483 of BNSS has been rejected by this Court only on **20.02.2026 vide order No.2 in Crl. Misc. case No.767/2026.**

Considering the serious nature of allegation made and the materials available on record and also considering the involvement of the accused petitioner in commission of alleged offence and the severity of punishment prescribed, I do not find any new ground to allow the prayer for bail.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail U/s.483 BNSS stands **rejected** at this stage.

The Crl. Misc. case is thus disposed of.

Dictd. & Cortd.
by me.

S. J., Msd (I/C)

Sessions Judge, Murshidabad (I/C)