

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Murshidabad

Present : Sri Partha Pratim Chakraborty (JO-WB01240),
Sessions Judge, Murshidabad

Crl. Misc. Case No.1237/2026

Ref. : Beldanga P.S. Case No.113/2026 dated 21.02.2026
U/s.85/123 of BNS & 3/4 D.P. Act.

1. Anowar Sk. @ Anuyar Sk. Accused/petitioner(s).
Vs.
The State Opposite Party.

Order No.03 dated 10.04.2026

The application for anticipatory bail U/s.482 BNSS filed by the petitioner as named above is taken up for hearing.

Heard the Ld. lawyer for the accused petitioner and the Ld. P.P., Murshidabad.

Ld. Defence Counsel has submitted that the allegation against the present accused petitioner is false.

It is submitted by the Ld. Advocate for the petitioner that no such application for bail on the selfsame cause of action has ever been filed before or rejected by the Hon'ble Court, Calcutta, to which, the Ld. P.P. expressed his agreement.

Ld. P.P., Murshidabad has raised vehement objection to the prayer for anticipatory bail by drawing attention of the Court to the Medical documents, available with the C.D.

Perused the FIR and other materials in the C.D.

The present accused petitioner is the husband of the victim lady and their marriage was solemnized 10 years ago.

Considered the nature of allegation made.

Also considered the medical documents containing Injury report showing that the victim lady **received multiple injuries**.

The present accused petitioner is the husband of the victim lady.

Considering the nature of allegation made and the materials available in C.D., particularly the Medical documents, it appears to me that this is not a fit case to grant anticipatory bail to the present accused petitioner.

Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail stands **rejected**.

The Crl. Misc. Case is thus disposed of.

Dictd. & Cortd.
by me.

S. J., Msd.

Sessions Judge, Murshidabad