

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Murshidabad

Present : Smt. SulagnaDastidar (Chattoraj) (JO-WB-00707),
Sessions Judge, Murshidabad
(In-charge)

Crl. Misc. Case No.1166/2026

Ref. : Hariharpara P.S. Case No.78/2026 dated 03.02.2026
U/s.85/92 of BNS.

1. Majuj Sk. @ Mafuj Seikh Accused/petitioner(s).
Vs.
The State Opposite Party.

Order No.04 dated 25.03.2026

The application for bail U/s.483 of BNSS filed by the petitioner as named above is taken up for hearing.

Heard the Ld. lawyer for the accused petitioner and the Ld. P.P., Murshidabad.

Ld. Defence counsel has submitted that the present accused petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and considering his period of detention, he may be enlarged on bail.

It is also submitted by the Ld. Advocate for the petitioner that no such application for bail on the selfsame cause of action has been filed before or rejected by Hon'ble Court, to which, the Ld. P.P. expressed his agreement.

Ld. P.P. has raised vehement objection to the prayer for bail and has drawn attention of this Court to the Medical documents, as available in the C.D.

Perused the materials available in case record and the C.D.

The present accused petitioner is the husband of the alleged victim lady and their marriage was solemnized 7 years back.

The allegation made in F.I.R. is that due to assault by kicking on abdomen, 8 months pregnancy of the victim lady was terminated.

I have gone through the statement of the witnesses including that of the alleged victim lady U/s.180 of BNSS in the light of the Medical documents and other materials in C.D.

Considering the nature of allegation made and the materials in C.D. and the involvement of the present accused petitioner in commission of alleged offence, I am of the view that this is not a fit case to enlarge the accused petitioner on bail.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail stands **rejected.**

The Crl. Misc. case is thus disposed of.

Dictd. & Cortd. by
me.

S. J., Msd. (I/C.)

Sessions Judge, Murshidabad
(In-Charge)