

**In the Court of Additional District & Sessions Judge, 2nd Court,
- Cum -
Judge, Special Court, NDPS Act,
Berhampore, Murshidabad.**

**Present : Sri Ajay Kumar Singh
Additional District & Sessions Judge, 2nd Court,
-Cum-
Judge, Special Court, NDPS Act,
Berhampore, Murshidabad.**

**Bail Petition No.:- 91/2026
(In NDPS Case No.:- 85/2025)**

Re : Application for bail of the petitioner/accused in connection with Murshidabad P.S. Case 472, dated 21.05.2025, under Section 21(b)(ii)(C)/29 of NDPS Act.

Ranjit Singha Roy @ Ganesh Singh Roy Petitioner/Accused

Versus

State of West Bengal O.P.

Later,

Order dated:- 08.06.2026.

Today is fixed for hearing of the instant bail application.

Ld. Special P.P. is present. So does the Ld. Advocate for the petitioner.

The instant bail application is taken up for hearing.

At the outset, Ld. Advocate for the petitioner/accused person has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He has further contended that the petitioner is in Judicial Custody since 22.05.2025. Ld. Advocate for the petitioner has also submitted that the petitioner has permanent residence within the jurisdiction and there is no possibility of absconding or tampering with evidence. He has apprised this Ld. Court that prayer for bail of the petitioner was earlier rejected by the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta. In this background Ld. Advocate for the petitioner/accused person has prayed before this Court to release the accused on bail on any condition put by this Court.

Per Contra, Ld. Special P.P. has vehemently raised objection against the bail application. In support of his contention, he has submitted that the petitioner is involved in the illegal business of contraband substance so seized and there is sufficient allegation against him. He has further contended that in this case commercial quantity of contraband substance in terms of 31.400 kg. Ganja was recovered and there is specific bar u/S. 37 of the NDPS Act and he prays for custodial trial of the accused person and not to release the accused person on bail in such cases.

Heard the rival submissions at the Bar. Considered.

Perused the materials on record.

Having heard the submissions of both the sides and on perusal of the case records, it transpires that the allegation against the petitioner is serious in nature. I also do find that in this case commercial quantity of contraband substance in terms of 31.400 kg. Ganja was recovered and there is specific bar u/S. 37 of the NDPS Act. I am also of the considered view that if the petitioner is released on bail there is every chance of tampering with evidence in the instant case.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the instant case, taking into consideration that there is bar u/s. 37 of the NDPS Act and that the prayer for bail of the petitioner was earlier rejected by the Hon'ble Court, Calcutta, I am not inclined to allow the instant bail application of the petitioner/accused at this stage and accordingly the prayer for bail of the petitioner is rejected.

The instant bail application is disposed of in the above terms.

To date [17.07.2026].

Dictated & Corrected by
me

Judge, Special Court, NDPS Act,
(J.O. Code:- WB 01303)

Addl. Dist. & Sess. Judge, 2nd Court
- CUM -

Judge, Special Court, NDPS Act,
Berhampore, Murshidabad.
(J.O. Code:- WB 01303).