

Business

: SU 10/18 By preceipe Adv. B. P. Shukla for plaintiff present. Adv. Sutar for defendant /MMC present. Nitin Shinde Sub Engg. Bldg. And factory dept. R/Central ward present. Heard Ld. Adv. Sukla for Plff and Ld. Adv. Sutar for BMC. The officer is also present. It is submitted by Shri. Shukla that after receiving notice they gave reply to it, but no order passed by the officer of BMC on it. So till passing the order the notice structure be protected. In reply to it, it is accepted by the officer Mr. Shinde for BMC that till today the order not been passed by them. In the given circumstances direction given to BMC to consider reply and documents if any submitted by the plff before the authority and passed the necessary reason order on it. Till that they should not take any coercive steps against the plff and 7 days thereafter, if the order is against the plff he is at liberty to take necessary steps. Further the suit been filed in vacation is a stamp suit. The compliance of officer object

Nature of Disposal

: DISPOSED OF OTHERWISE

Disposal Date

: 02-01-2018

Ad-hoc Judge, City Civil Court and Asst. Sessions Judge