

NDPS 63 of 2025

Order no.18

19.05.2025

The sole accused is produced from J/C and he is remanded to J/C till next date.

The Id defence lawyer is present.

The Id Special PP is present.

Perused the CD and the other materials on record.

The charge u/s **20(b)(ii)(C)/25 of NDPS Act** is framed against the accused in a separate sheet, which is kept with the record. The contents of the charge were read over and explained to the accused in his language, to which he pleaded not guilty by saying '*ami nirdosh*' and claimed to be tried.

Hence, issue summons CSPW no.1.

The bail petition dated 06.05.2025 is taken up for hearing.

The Id defence lawyer submits that the seized contraband was not seized from the possession of the accused and he is not the registered owner of the seized vehicle and he is in custody since 18.02.2025. He accordingly, prays for bail.

The Id Special PP opposes the bail petition.

The perusal of the CD and the other materials on record indicates recovery of contraband to the extent of commercial quantity. It appears that the accused entered into an agreement dated 10.06.2022 with the registered owner of the seized vehicle. Thus, the materials available before the Court do not inspire for the enlargement of the accused on bail at this stage.

The bail petition dated 06.05.2025 is accordingly, considered and stands rejected

To **19.06.2025** for evidence of CSPW no.1.

The prosecution to take step.

d/c

Judge, Special Court (NDPS)
Alpd.

Judge, Special Court (NDPS)
Alipurduar