

IN THE COURT OF SESSION FOR GR. BOMBAY AT MUMBAI
ORDER BELOW EXH.67

IN

PMLA SPL. CASE NO. 652 OF 2025

1) Ajay Gupta	...	Accused (A-11)
2) Vijay Gupta	...	Accused (A-12)

Versus

Enforcement Directorate	...	Respondent
-------------------------	-----	------------

Appearance:

Mr. Abhishek Mishra, Ld Adv. for accused Nos.11 and 12.
Mr. Arvind Aghay, Spl. PP

**CORAM : HIS HONOUR ADDL.SESIONS
 JUDGE D. D. KURULKAR
 (C.R.No.16)**

DATE : 23.06.2026

ORDER

1. The accused nos.11 and 12 have filed this application for seeking extension of time of four weeks for furnishing the surety as per the order of this Court.

2. The prosecution has strongly opposed the application, contending that sufficient time was already availed by the applicants, yet surety is not furnished.

3. Heard learned counsel for the accused and learned SPP. It is submitted that the accused could not be able to furnish the surety because of unavailability of sureties and solvency certificate.

4. In Mumbai securing surety is a very difficult and time consuming process. The applicants are late in furnishing surety for the reasons mentioned in the application, which are justified and acceptable. There are no allegation in respect of breach of the terms and conditions of the bail bonds. Therefore if the time is extended in

the interest of justice, no prejudice will be caused to the prosecution. Hence application is allowed extension to furnish surety is **granted till next date.**

Dt.: 23-06.2026

(D. D. Kurulkar)
Additional Sessions Judge
Designated as Special Court under
the PML Act, 2002
City Civil & Sessions Court, Mumbai