

S.C. 14 of 2026

Order dated 16.02.2026

Record is put up on the prayer of the Ld advocate for the accused Amar Kami.

One application for bail is moved for the accused Amar Kami .

Ld. P.P. in-charge and Ld. Advocate for the accused are present.

Ld. Advocate for the accused prays for bail on the ground that the accused is innocent. It is further submitted that the accused have permanent hearth within the jurisdiction of the court. It is also submitted that if the accused is enlarged on bail, the accused will appear before this court on each and every date fixed.

Ld. P.P.-in-charge objects to the bail of the accused pointing out the nature of the offense alleged under the Section 62/64/76/118 (2) of BNS. It is submitted that the nature of the offense is heinous and the allegation against the accused are grave. It is further submitted that accused being a relative of the victim and resident of the same house, there are chances that the prosecution witnesses may be influenced and tampered.

Considered the submission made by both the parties. Perused the record. This court finds that the offense has been alleged under section 62/64/76/118 (2) of BNS of committing rape of the victim and causing grievous hurt on the victim by dangerous weapon which are indeed heinous in nature. It also appears that the prosecution witnesses have not been examined. In such circumstances, the court is not inclined to enlarge the accused on bail.

Hence, the bail prayer of the accused stands rejected.

To date (25.02.2026) for production of accused Amar Kami and framing of charge.

Accused to be produced from D.C.H on date fixed.

Addl. Dist. & Sessions Judge, F.T.C. -1,
Alipurduar.

J.O. Code W.B. 01009