



CNR : MHLA010021072026.
Cri. Bail Appln. No.414/2026.
Rajashri Chalwad -Vs.- State.

ORDER BELOW EXT.1.

1. The applicant has presented this application for grant of regular bail under Section 483 of the BNSS in Crime No.385/2026 registered at Police Station, AUSA, Tah. AUSA, Dist. Latur for the offence punishable under Section 105 of the BNS, 2023.

2. Brief facts of the prosecution are as under :

- The police man – Mahesh filed FIR when the sudden death of victim – Digambar was reported. During inquiry, he obtained the postmortem report disclosing the cause of death was due to hemorrhage in the vein alongwith the left hand shoulder dislocation. He recorded statements of witnesses wherein the victim's wife – Jayshri gave statement that the victim returned to home under influence of liquor and started abuses to family members. He openly asked his daughter with whom she had gone. Therefore, Jayshri pushed the victim to fall on the ground. She thrashed his head with stick. The neighbours intervened and rescued the victim – who went for sleeping. In the morning, the victim complained about pains in the broken hand. Jayshri took victim firstly to massage man and who told to take him to the dispensary but the victim insisted to return to home when Dr. suggested to plaster his injured hand. On 23.06.2026, the victim's health became critical by sustaining various attacks. He was then taken to the hospital. But the victim reported as

dead on 27.06.2026. Thus, the present applicant Jayshri committed murder of victim Digambar. Lastly the informant filed FIR which is still under investigation.

3. In the aforesaid backdrop, the applicant has prayed for bail materially on the grounds that the applicant is falsely roped in the crime on the concocted story stated by the sister of victim. In-fact the victim sustained injuries due to falling on road from the platform of the house sustaining accidental injuries. The medical report also reveals the said grounds. In-fact the applicant and her son tried to save the life of victim. The FIR was filed after huge delay of 20 days. Nothing is remained to be recovered from present applicant and now the investigation is going to be completed. Therefore, the applicant needs to be released on bail.

4. The I.O. and A.P.P. have presented their common reply vide Ext.10 materially by reiterating aforementioned prosecution case. They further submit that still the statements of material witnesses are not recorded. The investigation revealed that the applicant thrashed head of the victim with stick which is seized. Most of the prosecution witnesses are in relation of the applicant, hence, her release on bail will cause obstruction into the investigation. Therefore, the application needs to be rejected.

5. I have heard arguments of ld. Adv. Mrs. B.S. Kutwade for the applicant and ld. APP Mrs. M.R. Kulkarni for the State. They have reiterated the aforementioned respective cases. After perusing the record, the points arise for determination are answered for the reasons as under :

Sr. No.	P O I N T S	F I N D I N G S
1)	Whether the applicant is entitled for grant of bail under Section 483 of the BNSS ?	No.
2)	What is order ?	: As per final order.

R E A S O N S

As to point No.1 :

6. The record reveals that the applicant is wife of the victim Digambar – who was addicted to drinking liquor. As per case of the prosecution, prior to the incident itself the victim returned to home under influence of liquor. He started ugly spat by the doubting the character of his daughter, hence, the present applicant pushed him to fall on the ground and then thrashed his head with a stick. The applicant has not denied those facts upto the dispute but denied her any involvement.

7. The Police Officer filed present FIR. The eye witnesses of the incident are from the family of victim Digambar. More particularly, the Investigating Officer is going to record the statement of the daughter of the victim and present applicant. Obviously, she is material witness as in her presence and on her cause itself, the dispute was held in between present applicant and the victim resulting into the applicant had pushed him and thrashed his head with stick. Therefore, if the applicant is released on bail at this stage, then certainly on going course of

investigation will be obstructed.

8. The participation of present applicant causing injuries to the victim Digambar can't be denied in the present situation. That act resulted into the death of victim. Therefore, thorough investigation of the alleged murder of victim has to be carried out. At this stage, involvement of the present applicant is found in such accusation. Therefore, let the investigation be completed by all means and till then the present applicant cannot seek the relief of bail. I therefore, answer point No.1 in the negative.

As to point No.2 :

9. The applicant has not succeeded to prove the present application. Hence, I pass the following order :

O R D E R

The application is rejected.

Date : 05.08.2026.

**(R.R. Bhosale)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Latur.**

"CERTIFIED TO BE TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL SIGNED JUDGMENT/ORDER".	
(A.P. Dongare)	
Stenographer (Grade-3)	
NAME OF STENOGRAPHER	
Name of the Judge (With Court Room No.)	: Shri R.R. Bhosale, Additional Sessions Judge-1, Latur. (18)
Date for pronouncement of Judgment/Order	: 05.08.2026.
Judgment/Order signed by P.O. on	: 05.08.2026.
