

Regular Bail Matter No. 662-2026
STATE Vs. RAJNEESH SHARMA
FIR NO. 114/2025, PS Crime Branch
U/s 22/25/29 NDPS Act

28.04.2026

Present: Sh. Ranbir Singh Kundu, Ld. Special PP for state(through VC).
Sh. Mahender Singh Mavi, Ld. counsel for applicant/ accused.
Deputed IO ASI Rajender on behalf of main IO SI Krishna Kumar in person.

Reply to the bail application is already filed. Copy already supplied.

Arguments heard.

Ld. counsel for the applicant/ accused submits that the applicant/ accused has been falsely implicated in this case and nothing has been recovered from his possession or at his instance.

He further argues that initially, applicant/ accused was falsely arrested in one FIR registered at Gurugram and thereafter, his name has been involved in other three FIRs including present one as well as Karnal and Faridabad. He further argues that applicant/ accused is on bail in aforesaid all FIRs except registered in Gurugram.

He further argues that as per the case of prosecution, initially, accused Manish Bhatle was arrested and 2360 Tramadol Capsules and 135 bottles of Codeine Syrup were recovered during the investigation of this case. He further argues that as per case of prosecution, applicant/ accused is the 'Source' of Ibrahim, however, as per CDR chart filed with the bail application, the applicant/ accused was never in contact with said

Contd/-...

Ibrahim. According to him, as per said chart, applicant/ accused has been shown in contact with Sunny but co-accused Sunny has not disclosed the name of the applicant/ accused.

He further argues that applicant/ accused is not connected with any other accused persons and there is not any financial transaction. He further argues that the investigation is complete and charge-sheet has already been filed. He further argues that the investigation qua the applicant/ accused is also complete and he is not required to be kept in JC. He further argues that remaining accused persons are already on bail and therefore, he requests that the applicant/ accused may be admitted to bail.

Per contra, Ld. Special PP for the State as well as deputed IO have vehemently opposed the bail application of the applicant/ accused stating that the applicant/ accused is very much involved in the present offence. They further submit that during investigation of present case, commercial quantity was recovered and therefore, Section 37 of NDPS Act is applicable.

They further submit that the applicant/ accused is involved in four similar cases, therefore, second condition as provided U/s 37 of NDPS Act that there is any possibility to commit similar offence if the accused/ applicant is admitted to bail is not satisfied. Therefore, they request that the bail application of the applicant/ accused should be dismissed.

Submissions considered. Record perused.

As per the facts of the case, nothing has been recovered from the possession or at the instance of the applicant/ accused. Further, as per case of prosecution, applicant/ accused

Contd/-...

is Source of co-accused Ibrahim but as per chart of CDR connectivity filed with the charge-sheet, the applicant/ accused was never in contact with co-accused Ibrahim. Thus, at this stage, there is only disclosure statement of applicant/ accused and other co-accused persons, which is prima facie not admissible. Further, as per record, final report has already been filed against several accused persons and remaining all accused persons are already on bail. Therefore, considering the facts and circumstances of the case, prima facie, accused/ applicant has satisfied twin conditions as provided U/s 37 of NDPS Act. Therefore, bail application of the applicant/ accused is allowed and applicant/ accused **Rajneesh Sharma** is admitted to bail on furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs. 35,000/- with one surety in the like amount and subject to condition that :-

- (i) That applicant/ accused shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to the complainant or any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;
- (ii) That applicant/ accused shall upon his release provide his mobile number to the IO/ SHO and keep his such mobile 'Switch On' at all times.
- (iii) That applicant/ accused shall intimate to the IO/ SHO about any change in his mobile number or address;
- (iv) The applicant/ accused will not influence the witnesses nor tamper with any evidence;
- (v) That applicant/ accused shall not leave the country without permission of the Court;
- (vi) That applicant/ accused shall appear on each and every date of hearing before the Court.

Application stands **disposed of** accordingly.

Contd/-...

The observations made on the merits of the case are purely for the purposes of deciding the present bail application and shall not be construed as expression upon the merits of the case.

Copy of the order be given dasti to the IO as well as to the Ld. Counsel for applicant/ accused and be communicated to the applicant/ accused through Jail Superintendent for information.

(Virender Singh)
Special Judge, NDPS,
Central, THC, Delhi/28.04.2026