

Witness present and duly sworn in on:28.03.2019.

Chief Examination by Sri. Advocate for Respondent No.1

Today I have produced the following documents in support of the case:

- Ex.R.4 Authorization letter
- Ex.R.5 Policy terms and conditions
- Ex.R.6 Company notice with postal receipt and acknowledgment

Cross examination: by learned counsel for Petitioner.

I was working in first Respondent company on the date of accident. It is true to suggest that as on the date of accident policy was in force. I am not aware the DL was valid. My company was not supplied copy of DL. It is true to suggest that I am giving evidence on basis police and medical documents. It is true to suggest that none of the police documents mentioned about involvement of two autos. It is true to suggest that as per police documents accident occur between lorry and auto. My company as investigated by collecting documents. There was no independent investigation. It is true to suggest that lorry driver was charge sheeted. My company didn't challenge the

charge sheet. I am not aware that lorry driver pleaded guilty and paid fine. I don't have personal knowledge about accident. It is false to suggest that I am deposing false evidence to avoid compensation.

(Evidence deposed by the witness is computerized to my dictation in the open court).

R O I & A C

***V Addl. Court of Small Causes
Judge,
Member MACT & 24th ACMM.***