

State Vs. Udit Sharma
FIR No.0035/2026
PS: Cyber Police Station, East
U/Sec.112(2)/318(4) BNS

18.04.2026

First application u/s.480 BNSS (437 Cr.PC) for grant of regular bail filed on behalf of applicant/ accused Udit Sharma received duly marked by Ld. CJM (East) vide order dated 13.04.2026.

Present: Sh. Chetan Mittal, Ld. APP for State.
IO SI Vishvendra Malik in person.
Sh. Rajesh Sharma, Ld. counsel for accused.

Reply to the bail application filed. Same is taken on record.

It is stated in the application that the accused has been languishing in JC since 09.04.2026; that accused has nothing to do with the alleged offence and he has been falsely implicated in the present case; that neither the accused has any mule account nor did he receive any sort of cheated amount; that no recovery has been effected from the accused; that accused is a poor person and is the only bread-earner of his family; no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the accused behind the bars. It is further stated that accused is ready to abide by the terms & conditions as may be imposed by this court and to furnish reliable surety to the entire satisfaction of the court. Hence, it is prayed that the accused may be granted bail.

Ld. APP for the State has strongly opposed the bail application of the accused.

In the reply, the IO has also opposed the bail application stating that the allegations against the accused are serious in nature involving

organized cyber fraud; that there is active involvement of accused providing and arranging mule bank accounts. It is prayed that the accused may not be granted bail as there is every likelihood of accused tampering with evidence and absconding himself or assisting co-accused persons.


Submissions heard. Record perused.

Considering the facts & circumstances of the case coupled with the fact that the investigation is at nascent stage, seriousness of allegations levelled against the accused involving multiple cyber fraud on PAN India basis with specific allegations against the accused/ applicant herein that he was involved in operating the mule accounts actively and used to facilitate transfer and withdrawal of alleged amount of cheating; and the fact that there is a possibility of repetition of the offence as the bank account of co-accused has been used for multiple complaints, also that accused appears to be part of a larger organized cyber fraud syndicate, this court does not deem it fit to grant bail to the accused at this stage as it would adversely hamper the investigation. Accordingly, **bail application of accused Udit Sharma is dismissed.**

Bail application stands disposed of accordingly. Copy dasti.

Nothing expressed herein above shall have bearing on the final merits of the case.

Copy of order be sent to Jail Superintendent concerned through e-mail as well as dispatch rider.



(RINKU JAIN)

ACJM (EAST)/KKD/DELHI

18.04.2026