

Criminal Misc. Case – 359 / 2026
C.N.R.No. - WBJP010010192026
J.O Code- WB00977

Order No. 03 dated 01.04.2026

The application for u/s 482 of B.N.S.S. filed by the accused-petitioners, namely, 1. Prodip Sarkar @ Pradip Sarkar, 2. Prosenjit Sarkar @ Sujoy Sarkar, 3. Joytirmoy Sarkar @ Jothimoy, 4. Laluya Roy and 5. Prosenjit Roy, praying for anticipatory bail in connection with Maynaguri P.S. Case No.100/2026 dated 04.03.2026, u/s-126(2)/115(2)/117(2)/118(2)/109(1)/303(2)/351(2)/3(5) of B.N.S., corresponding to P.T.N. No.WBJP02P001392/2026, is taken up for hearing.

Learned Advocate for the accused-petitioners submits that no bail application filed by the accused-petitioners is either rejected or pending by or before any upper forum including Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta in connection with the instant case.

The bail application filed before this Court by the accused-petitioners also reflect the same and the Ld. Public Prosecutor, Jalpaiguri concedes to such facts.

Ld. Advocate for the accused-petitioners submitted that there was a dispute between the parties in respect of landed properties and a scuffling took place between the parties and actually the present accused-petitioners sustained injury in the alleged incident and he has submitted some documents in support of his contention. On all such grounds, it is submitted that anticipatory bail should be granted to the accused-petitioners.

On the other hand, Ld. Public Prosecutor, Jalpaiguri raised objection.

Heard both sides.

Perused the materials on record and C.D.

On perusal of the materials available before this Court, it appears that investigation in this case is in progress. Injury reports have been collected and other evidences are forthcoming. At this stage, involvement of these accused-petitioners in the alleged incident cannot be ruled out. So, considering the injury reports and considering the fact that investigation is at early stage, this court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail at this stage.

Hence, the prayer for anticipatory bail filed by the above named the accused-petitioners stands rejected.

Let a copy of this order along with T.C.R be sent to the learned C.J.M, Jalpaiguri, for information and taking necessary action.

Return C.D.

Thus, the Criminal Misc. case is disposed of.

Dictated & corrected by me,

**Sessions Judge,
Jalpaiguri (in-charge)**

**Sessions Judge,
Jalpaiguri (in-charge)**