

M.J(L.A) Case No.64/2005 & 65/2005 (97 &96/14).

Order No. 83, dt. 06.07.2017.

The aforesaid records are taken up for hearing today. The cases were posted today for argument.

Ld. Counsel representing the L.A Collector, file two separate applications, praying that he may allowed to adduce evidence in connection with M.J-64/15. If the he is not given the opportunity to adduce evidence, then it would not be possible for the court to reach at a correct decision.

Hd. the Ld. Counsels of the respective parties.

Considered.

The petition of the Ld. Counsel of the L.A Collector for adducing evidence stands allowed for ends of justice by withdrawing the case from argument stage. Earlier examination-in-chief, filed on behalf of the L.A Collector is not pressed and the same is expunged.

One witness namely, Sushanta Sen Gupta is examined as D.W.1. Ld. Counsel, representing the L.C Collector files an application for adjournment of the evidence for want of the C. Copy of some documents, which he has not received even after filing separate application for copy due to delay in sending the record to the Copying Department. Prayer for Adjournment stands allowed.

Ld. Counsel, representing the L.A Collector files another application, stating inter alia that two cases are lying tagged together for analogous hearing namely, L.A-65/05 & 64/05. According to him, there is a recent order from the Hon'ble High Court at Calcutta that the cases of the present nature can not be tried together. Said peetition is kept pending for hearing with a liberty to the Ld. Counsel of the petitioner to file objection, if any in the mean time.

To 17.08.2017 for evidence and hearing of the petition, filed by the Ld. Counsel of the L.A Collector.

Dic. & cor. by me.

A.D.J, 1st Court, Jal.

Addl. Dist. Judge,
Jalpaiguri.