

B.A. No.1690/2025
CNR No.PBRO-01-005441-2025
Date of Institution: 19.09.2025

Jatinder Singh Versus State of Punjab

Present: Sh. Kamal Singh Rana Advocate, counsel for the applicant-accused.
Sh. Rajinder Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State/respondent.
Sh. Mohit K. Dhupar Advocate, counsel for complainant.

ORDER:-

1. This order of mine shall dispose of an application for anticipatory bail filed on behalf of applicant/accused **Jatinder Singh** in case bearing **FIR No.146 Dated 13.09.2025, under Sections 115(2), 333, 351(2) BNS, 2023, Police Station Sri Anandpur Sahib.**
2. In pursuance of notice of the application having been issued, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the respondent-State has put in appearance. Police record has been produced and perused. As per report of Ahlmad, no bail application is pending.
3. Learned counsel for applicant has vehemently argued that the applicant/accused is an innocent person and has committed no offence. The applicant/accused is ready to join the investigation and will abide by all the terms and conditions imposed by the learned Court and vehemently prayed for acceptance of pre-arrest bail application of accused/applicant.
4. On the other hand the learned Additional Public Prosecutor contended that the allegations against the accused/applicant are serious in

Pushpinder Singh,
Additional Sessions Judge,
UID No.PB00285
06.10.2025

nature and therefore, he is not entitled for grant of pre-arrest bail and prayed for dismissal of the bail application.

5. ASI Harjit Singh No.710/R Police Station Sri Anandpur Sahib appeared and suffered a separate statement on 26.09.2025 that the present case has been registered against applicant-accused Jatinder Singh and his father Dalvir Singh under Sections 115(2), 333, 351(2) BNS, 2023 vide FIR no.146 dated 13.09.2025 at Police Station Sri Anandpur Sahib. No other FIR has been registered against said Jatinder Singh and his father Dalvir Singh. The accused Jatinder Singh is required for custodial interrogation for the recovery of wooden stick used in the incident.

6. After giving my thoughtful consideration to the rival submissions and on careful perusal of the averments put in the application, contents of the FIR, perusal of the record produced by ASI Harjit Singh, coupled with the assistance rendered, it is apt to make mention that as per complainant Bakshish Singh, the occurrence allegedly took place on 12.09.2025 at about 8.30/9.00 A.M. when the applicant/accused after committing alleged criminal trespass into the cattle yard of complainant, beaten him up with a stick. Non-applicant/accused who is father of the present applicant/accused also slapped him. As per MLR, the complainant sustained four injuries which were caused with blunt weapon. Injuries No.1 and 4 were kept for ortho opinion whereas injuries No.2 and 3 were kept for surgery opinion. No injury was declared dangerous to life. Hence, taking note of the totality of

the facts, the court is of the opinion that ends of justice shall be squarely met in case applicant is directed to make himself available to facilitate thorough and fair investigation. As such, his custodial interrogation is not required. Thus, the applicant is directed to appear before the SHO/ investigating officer within **10 days** from today and he shall fully cooperate. On putting in appearance, the applicant shall be admitted to bail on his furnishing the bail bonds in the sum of **Rs.50,000/-** with one surety in the like amount each, to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/S.H.O of the concerned police station, with the directions:-

- i) that the applicant-accused shall join the investigation as and when required by the police;
- ii) that the applicant-accused will not interfere in the investigation;
- iii) that the applicant-accused will not leave India without prior permission of the trial court;
- iv) that the applicant-accused shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;
- v) that the applicant-accused shall attend the court on each and every date of hearing.

7. The bail application is accordingly allowed. Copy of order be sent to the concerned Police Station for compliance. Police record has been returned. File be consigned to the record room.

Pronounced in open Court:-

Dated: 06.10.2025

Ty. by Sohan Lal STG-I

(Pushpinder Singh)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Rupnagar [UID No.PB00285]

Pushpinder Singh,
Additional Sessions Judge,
UID No.PB00285
06.10.2025

B.A. No.1690/2025
CNR No.PBRO-01-005441-2025
Date of Institution: 19.09.2025

Jatinder Singh Versus State of Punjab

Present: Sh. Kamal Singh Rana Advocate, counsel for the applicant-accused.
Sh. Rajinder Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State/respondent.
Sh. Mohit K. Dhupar Advocate, counsel for complainant.

Arguments heard. Vide my separate detailed order of even date, bail application has been allowed. Copy of order be sent to the concerned Police Station for compliance. Police record has been returned. File be consigned to the record room.

Pronounced in open Court:-
Dated: 06.10.2025

(Pushpinder Singh)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Rupnagar [UID No.PB00285]

Ty. by Sohan Lal STG-I

Pushpinder Singh,
Additional Sessions Judge,
UID No.PB00285
06.10.2025