

State Vs. Jagdish Singh & Anrs. BA-17-2019

FIR No. :- 110, Dt. 12.12.2018,
U/ss. :- 457, 379 & 427 IPC
Police Station :- Ghuman,

Present: Sh. Dilbag Singh, Adv., counsel for applicants/accused.
Sh. Sandeep Sharma, Ld. APP for the State.

Heard on the bail application moved by accused/applicants through counsel. Perusal of the case file reveals that the accused/applicants were arrested in this case for commission of offences under sections 457, 379 & 427 IPC and they were arrested on 13.12.2016 and thereafter, they were remanded to judicial custody in this case. So accused are no more required for custodial interrogation as they have already been remanded to judicial custody and nothing is to be recovered from them. It is general principle of Criminal Jurisprudence that accused is presumed to be innocent until proved guilty and guilt of accused is to be proved during trial, however conclusion of investigation, presentation of challan and then conclusion of trial will take sufficient long time. So no useful purpose would be served by keeping the accused/applicants behind the bars rather they will be burden on State Exchequer. As such accused/applicants Jagdish Singh and Lovejeet Singh are admitted on bail on furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 50,000/- with one surety in the like amount, failing which accused be kept in custody.

Bail bonds and surety bonds not furnished. Record of bail application be attached with the remand papers.

Narinder Kumar,
Stenographer III

(Simran Singh) PCS,
JMJC/Dt. 16.01.2019
Batala/UID PB 0372