

**IN THE COURT OF SH. AVTAR SINGH,
SESSIONS JUDGE, FAZILKA (UID No. PB0086).**

CIS NO. BA/2331/2024.

Date of Instt: 04.12.2024.

CNR No. PBFZC0-005934-2024.

DATE OF ORDER: 11.12.2024.

Ramandeep Kaur alias Raman Gill age 27 years wife of Surjeet Kumar
resident of village Arniwala Tehsil & District Fazilka.

----Applicant-accused.

Versus

State of Punjab

-----Respondent

Application for bail u/s 482 (1) BNSS in FIR no. 150 dated
27.11.2024 under section 108 of BNS, P.S. Arniwala.

Present: Sh. Siddarth Khurana Advocate counsel for applicant.
Sh. Wazir Kamboj, P.P. for the State-respondent.

ORDER

This is an application under section 482 (1) BNSS moved by
the above mentioned applicant-accused in the aforementioned FIR.

2. As per report of Ahlmad of this Court, no bail application of
applicant in the present FIR is pending or decided either by the Hon'ble
High Court or by the Sessions Court at Fazilka.

3. The brief facts of the prosecution story are that FIR has been
registered on the statement of Balwinder Singh. He stated that his son
Jaskaran Singh was having illicit relations with Raman Gill wife of Surjeet
Singh resident of village Arniwala Sheikh Subhan. Thereafter, there was
conversation between Jaskaran Singh and Raman Gill. Raman Gill was
threatening Jaskaran Singh that she would implicate him in the false case
and his son was under depression. He consumed Celphos and ended his
precious life. Offence was made out under section 108 of B.N.S. 2023.

4. Notice of the bail application was given to the State. Shri Wazir Kamboj, P.P. appeared on behalf of State and contested the bail application.

5. Learned P.P. for the State argued that Raman Gill wife of Surjeet Singh was having illicit relations with Jaskaran Singh. She was threatening him to implicate him in false case and he consumed Celphons and ended his precious life. Offence under section 108 of BNS is serious in nature. If bail is granted, accused can abscond. She can influence the Investigating Agency and can also influence the prosecution witnesses from making their true testimonies in the Court. Bail application should be dismissed.

6. On the other hand, learned counsel for the accused argued that accused has been implicated in the false case. Moreover, deceased Jaskaran Singh himself was threatening Raman Gill to solemnize marriage with him. There is no fault of Raman Gill. The accused be granted interim bail.

7. From the submissions of learned counsels for parties and from the perusal of the file, it is clear that there is specific allegation against the accused that Raman Gill and Jaskaran Singh were having illicit relations and Raman Gill @ Ramandeep Kaur bail applicant was threatening the deceased to implicate him in the false case. The deceased was under depression and he ended his precious life by consuming Celphos. The offence is serious in nature. If bail is granted, the accused can influence the Investigating Agency and can also influence the prosecution witnesses from making their true testimonies in the Court. As such, she is not entitled for the extra ordinary relief of anticipatory bail. The bail application is dismissed. Anything observed here-in-above shall have no effect on the merits of main case.

Bail application file be consigned to Appellate Record Room,
Fazilka, after due compilation.

Sd/-

Dated: 11.12.2024.

‘Bhola Shankar’
‘Stenographer Gr.I’

(Avtar Singh),
Sessions Judge,
Fazilka (UID No. PB0086)