

FIR No.45 of 08.03.2026

U/S: 115(2), 126(2), 351(2), 190, 191(3) BNS &
118(2) of BNS (Added Later)

P.S. Lalru

Present: Sh. S S Chadha Advocate for accused Jaspreet Singh
Ld. APP for the State

ORDER:-

File put up before me being Duty Magistrate as the Ld. Presiding Officer is availing summer vacations.

2. Heard on bail application moved on behalf of accused/applicant Jaspreet Singh. It is stated in the bail application that applicant/accused is innocent and has been falsely implicated in present case and is in custody from the date of his arrest. Learned counsel for applicant/accused has argued that nothing is to be recovered from accused person. He further argued that accused is ready to abide by the terms and conditions as imposed by this Hon'ble Court while granting the concession of bail. As such, prayer is made that applicant/accused be released on bail.

3. Notice of the bail application was given to Ld. APP for the State, who filed reply and contested the bail application by submitting that serious allegations have been leveled against the accused. He further argued that if the concession of bail is granted to the applicant/accused, he may try to influence the investigation and the prosecution witnesses. Ld. APP for the State has further argued that the investigation is still in progress and granting of bail to the applicant/accused would delay the said process as there is every likelihood that the applicant/accused would interfere with the investigation process. As such, Ld. APP for the State requested that the applicant/accused do not deserve the leniency of the

Court and bail application of accused should be dismissed.

4. Heard. Papers perused. After hearing the arguments raised by the learned counsel for the accused person and Ld. Addl.P.P for the State, I am of the considered opinion that the allegations against the accused are of very serious nature as the facts as stated in the reply/FIR are that the accused has caused injuries to the complainant. Ld. Counsel for the accused has argued that the offence under Section 118(2) of BNS is not made out against the accused as the same has also been mentioned in the order dated 27.04.2026 passed by the court of Ld. Additional Sessions Judge, SAS Nagar. Perusal of record shows that as far as Section 118(2) of BNS, is concerned, the investigation is still pending and said Section has not yet been deleted. Moreover, to attract Section 118(2) an injury is required to be caused by dangerous weapon. As such, keeping in view the gravity of the offence involved in the present FIR, the antecedents of the accused and the fact that he might tamper with the investigation, if released on bail, as such, he does not deserve the concession of bail and the bail application of the accused Jaspreet Singh, is, accordingly, dismissed. Bail application papers be tagged with main file/remand papers/FIR, as the case may be and sent back to Court concerned immediately.

Pronounced in open Court.

Date of Order: 22.06.2026

(Sumit Kumar)

Stenographer Gr-II

(Navreet Kaur), PCS

SDJM (Duty) / Dera Bassi

(UID: PB – 0436)

State VS Jaspreet Singh

BA-233-2026

FIR No.45 of 08.03.2026

U/S: 115(2), 126(2), 351(2), 190, 191(3) BNS &
118(2) of BNS (Added Later)

P.S. Lalru

Present: Sh. S S Chadha Advocate for accused Jaspreet Singh
Ld. APP for the State

File put up before me being Duty Magistrate as the Ld.
Presiding Officer is availing summer vacations.

Reply to bail application not filed.

Arguments heard. Vide my separate detailed order of even
date, the bail application stands dismissed. Bail papers be tagged with
main file / remand papers / FIR.

Pronounced in open Court.

Date of Order: 22.06.2026

(Sumit Kumar)

Stenographer Gr-II

(Navreet Kaur), PCS

SDJM (Duty) / Dera Bassi

(UID: PB – 0436)