

**In the Court of Sanjay Agnihotri,
Additional Sessions Judge-I,
Kapurthala
(UID No.PB0099)**

CNR No. PBKP010056372025



Case No. BA/2323/2025

Presented on : 17-09-2025
Registered on : 18-09-2025
Decided on : 23-09-2025

FIR no. 235 dated 08.08.2025
Under section 85,316(2)of BNS
Police Station: City Kapurthala

- 1. **Harsimran Singh** aged 35 years son of Avtar Singh, resident of 18 Ranjit Avenue, District Kapurthala.
 - 2. **Avtar Singh**, aged about 75 years son of Balwant Singh, resident of 18 Ranjit Avenue, District Kapurthala.
-Applicants/accused.

Versus

State of Punjab
.....Respondent.

Application for bail under section 482 BNSS

Present: **Sh. Manish Luthra**, Advocate, counsel for the applicants/accused.
Ms. Reena Rani Addl. Public Prosecutor for the State.

Order:-

- 1 The applicants-accused Harsimran Singh and Avtar Singh has filed this regular bail application on 17.09.2025 in case FIR aforementioned.
- 2 Notice of the bail application was issued to the respondent State and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has contested the bail application. Police Record has been produced.
- 3 It has been averred in the bail application that the the

Harsimran Singh & Anr. Vs. State of Punjab 2

applicant/accused are innocent, have not committed any offence and has been falsely implicated in a false which has been registered without conducting any enquiry in the matter. They were never called to join the investigation. They are in judicial custody since 09.09.2025. Nothing is to be recovered from them. They are ready to abide by all terms and conditions, if any, of the bail.

4 As per the case of the prosecution, complainant Charanjit Kaur @ Chahatpreet Kaur suffered as a statement that her marriage was solemnized with Harsimran Singh son of Avtar Singh in the year 2014. After eight months of her marriage, her husband started giving beating to her. One daughter, now aged about 6 years was born out of the wed lock. About four years ago, she was removed from her matrimonial house by her husband and parents in law, and she went to her paternal home at Abohar and move a complaint against her husband at Abohar Court, in which her husband was summoned but her parents-in-law took her back to home with the intervention of the panchayat, by giving assurance that the complainant will harassed, thus, warrants issued by the court against her husband were recalled. Thereafter, her husband, father in law and mother in law started harassing her on demand of dowry. On the last night, her husband gave beating to her, and in the morning her mother-in-law and father-in-law removed her from her matrimonial home on demand of dowry. Ultimately she prayed for taking action against her husband Harsimran Singh, father-in-law Avtar Singh and the applicant/accused for demand of dowry and for misappropriation of her dowry articles. The

Harsimran Singh & Anr. Vs. State of Punjab 3

present FIR was lodged against the applicant/accused and others and the investigation was initiated.

5 Arguments heard and record perused with the able assistance of learned counsel for the applicants/accused and Addl. Public Prosecutor for State.

6 The allegations and counter allegations are matter of trial. The applicant no.2 is father-in-law of the complainant, is a senior citizen aged about 75 years. Applicant/accused no.1 is husband of the complainant and there is no specific entrustment of dowry to him. The contents of the FIR reveals that the same has been registered on the day, the complainant made her statement before the police. The applicants are in judicial custody. No useful purpose will be served by detaining the applicant further in custody. As such, the application for regular bail of the applicants/accused is allowed and the applicants/accused are ordered to be released on bail on their furnishing bail bonds(cash surety) in the sum of Rs.20,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned Illaqa Magistrate/duty Magistrate, subject to the following conditions-

- 1) *That the applicants/accused shall appear in the Court on each and every date of hearing.*
- 2) *That the applicants/accused shall not leave India without prior permission of the court and shall not induce the prosecution witnesses.*
- 3) *That the applicants/accused shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence.*

7. Copy of this order be sent to the learned Illaqa/Duty Magistrate for compliance under the signatures of Reader. A soft copy of the bail order be sent

Harsimran Singh & Anr. Vs. State of Punjab 4

by e-mail to the accused/applicant through the Jail Superintendent. Bail application file be consigned to the Record Room(J), Kapurthala.

**Pronounced in open Court:-
Dated:23.09.2025.**

Nirlep Kaur Stenographer Gr.I

**(Sanjay Agnihotri),
Addl.Sessions Judge
Kapurthala.(UID No.PB0099)**

Sanjay Agnihotri, Addl.Sessions Judge, Kapurthala UID No.PB00099

Harsimran Singh & Anr. Vs. State of Punjab 5

CNR No. PBKP010056372025



Case No. BA/2323/2025

Presented on : 17-09-2025

Registered on : 18-09-2025

Decided on : 23-09-2025

Harsimran Singh & Anr. Vs. State of Punjab

Present: **Sh. Manish Luthra**, Advocate, counsel for the applicants/
accused.
Ms. Reena Rani Addl. Public Prosecutor for the State.

Arguments heard. Vide separate detailed order of even
date, the application for regular bail filed by the applicants/accused
has been **allowed**, as detailed therein. The record of bail application
be consigned to the record room, Kapurthala.

Pronounced in open Court:-

Dated:23.09.2025.

Nirlep Kaur Stenographer Gr.I

**(Sanjay Agnihotri),
Addl.Sessions Judge
Kapurthala.(UID No.PB0099)**

Sanjay Agnihotri, Addl.Sessions Judge, Kapurthala UID No.PB00099