

IN THE COURT OF SHRI GAGANDEEP SINGH GARG,
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE,
BATHINDA
(UID No.PB00295)

CNR No. PBBT010046512026			
	Case No. BA/1680/2026		
	Presented on	:	10-04-2026
	Registered on	:	13-04-2026
	Decided on	:	29-04-2026

State of Punjab	Versus	Anmoljeet Singh @ Kaali son of Bikker Singh, resident of village Bada Gudha, Police Station Bada Gudha, District Sirsa (Haryana).
-----------------	--------	---

.....Applicant-accused.

FIR No.249 dated 16.10.2025,
Under Section 109,115(2),118(1),118(2),304,
191(3), 190,351(2),324(4),238 of BNS, 2023,
Police Station Talwandi Sabo, District Bathinda.

Bail application under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023.

Present: Sh.G.S.Dhiman, Advocate, for applicant-accused.
Sh.Binni Mittal, Additional Public Prosecutor for State.

ORDER

1. This order of mine shall dispose of the bail application under Section 483 of BNSS filed by the applicant/accused in abovesaid FIR.
2. It is the pleaded case of the applicant that it is the 1st bail application filed on behalf of accused. The application is supported with affidavit of Bikker Singh, father of accused in this regard. It is

pleaded that the case was registered on the statement of Lalpreet Singh. The facts of the FIR are reiterated in the present bail application. It is pleaded that the applicant-accused is falsely implicated in the present case. It is pleaded that the one simple injury is attributed to the petitioner. The offence under Section 109 of BNS is not made out against the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 11.12.2025. Nothing is to be recovered from the applicant. It is pleaded that the investigation has been completed. The challan has already been presented. The completion of trial will take time. The accused undertakes to appear during the trial and comply with the conditions of bail. Hence the bail application.

3. On the receipt of the application for bail, the report of the Ahlmad of the Court has been called. It is submitted that it is the first bail application moved on behalf of applicant-accused and no other bail application is pending or decided in any Hon'ble Superior Court and in this Court.

4. Notice of the bail application served upon the prosecution. The challan file has been received.

5. I have heard the learned APP for the State and the learned counsel for the accused. I have gone through the record of the case.

6. The FIR has been registered at the instance of Lalpreet Singh. It is alleged that on 13.10.2025 at about 7.00p.m., the complainant went to Talwandi Sabo on a motorcycle with his friend.

At about 8.30p.m., a car hit the motorcycle of complainant. Complainant and Arjan Singh fell on the road. Gursimran Singh armed with iron kappa (iron handle affixed with iron plate), Ninja armed with iron Grari (mechanical instrument), Sukh armed with toka (household instrument) Yudhveer Singh armed with small sword and one unidentified individual armed with iron rod came out of the car. Gursimran Singh threatened to shoot the complainant if he ran away. Gursimran Singh caused injury on the right leg of the complainant. Sukh caused injury on the back of the complainant. Ninja caused injury on the left leg of the complainant. Yudhveer hit the right hand and left foot of the complainant with his weapon of offence. The unidentified individual hit the left elbow of the complainant. Thereafter the accused ran away from the spot. The injured was admitted to the hospital. Injury No.1 and 2 on the person of complainant were declared as grievous in nature.

7. It is argued by the learned counsel for the applicant-accused that the applicant has been falsely implicated. It is argued that only one simple injury is attributed to the petitioner who is in custody since 11.12.2025. It is argued that the investigation is complete and the police challan has been filed. The trial in the present case will take time to conclude. The accused has undergone sufficient period of time in custody. It is, therefore, prayed that the accused be granted concession of bail.

8. On the other hand, it is argued by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State that the accused is involved in a serious offence. Therefore, it is prayed that the accused does not merit the concession of bail.

9. It is the allegation against the applicant-accused that the accused acted in furtherance of common object of unlawful assembly. On the instigation of accused Gursimran Singh, petitioner Anmoljeet Singh alongwith co-accused carried out a murderous assault upon the complainant. It is alleged that the said individuals attacked the complainant with an intention to kill the complainant. I am of the considered opinion that serious allegations have been attributed upon the accused. The act attributed against the accused is such that the same has a tendency to cause alarm and panic in the society. It can not be said that if released on bail, the accused would not threaten/intimidate the complainant/ witnesses and hamper the process of trial. The length of the custody of the petitioner is not the only parameter which is to be considered while adjudicating the claim of grant of bail to the accused. The arguments put forth on behalf of the petitioner are a matter of trial and can not be considered at this stage. Therefore, there is no ground whatsoever to release the accused on bail at this stage.

10. Accordingly, on the basis of the findings given above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the bail

application is dismissed. The same is disposed of.

11. The copy of the order be sent to the SHO concerned Police Station. Papers be attached with the trial file.

Pronounced in open Court
Dated: 29th April, 2026

(Suman Bala, Stenographer)

(Gagandeep Singh Garg),
Additional Sessions Judge,
Bathinda/29.4.2026
(UID No. PB00295)

(Gagandeep Singh Garg),
Additional Sessions Judge, Bathinda. (UID-PB0295)