

**In the Court of Additional District Judge, 2nd Court, Uluberia,
Howrah**

Present: Atrayee Manna Chowdhury, A.D.J. 2nd Court, Uluberia
(J.O. Code :WB 00848)

1

**Mat Suit No.188/19 (CIS Reg. no. 188/19)
CNR NO.WBHW09-000687-2019**

**Order no.18
dated: 19.06.25**

Today is fixed for hearing.

Petitioner files hazira.

Record is taken up for hearing of the petitioner dated 30.04.2025.

Perused. Heard.

Submitting the petition petitioner has prayed for allowing him to cause service of summons upon OP by way of paper publication.

Ld. Advocate for the petitioner submits that inspite of sending summons to the OP number of times it could not be served upon her.

Perusal of the record reveals that in the cause title of the application under section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, petitioner has mentioned his address along with the address of the paternal house of the respondent as her address. Twice summons were sent to the respondent at the address of the petitioner himself. Return of summons without being served at the said address can not be considered while adjudicating the present application.

As regard to the other address of the respondent, at first, as it appears from the copy of track report, the same returned with the postal remarks "in sufficient address". Thereafter, it returned with the postal remark

“addressee left without instructions”.

However,

2

from the copy of track report the delivery location has been mentioned as “Adityapur SO”, whereas, in the details of the invents there is no mentioned of “Adityapur SO”.

In such view of the matter petitioner is directed to clarify the aforesaid discrepancy and also to submit the notice as mentioned by him in paragraph 4 of the application.

To **23.07.2025** for submission of document, clarification and further hearing of in respect of the petition dated 30.04.2025.

Dictated & Corrected by

Additional District Judge,
2nd Court, Uluberia, Howrah

Additional District Judge,
2nd Court, Uluberia, Howrah