

Bail Application No.6811/2025

ORDER BELOW EXH.4

The applicant Jayshree Subramaniam Iyer has filed this application for anticipatory bail under section 482 of The Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, (BNSS) in respect of Crime No.738/2024 registered with Chatushrunji police station under sections 408, 420, 467, 471 read with section 34 of IPC.

2. **Prosecution Case :-**

The allegations alleged by the informant Sachin Muralidhar Thosar in the FIR are that Mr. Annapure Reddy Ishwar Reddy, who is the operational head of their company Amisec India Private Limited, and HR head Smt. Jayashree Subramaniam Iyer, i.e. the applicant, had misused their powers granted to them by the said company by appointing bogus employees and drawn salary of those employees who were not doing any work. Also the accused no.1, Annapure Reddy, who was the operational head, had by misusing the powers through the agency of his wife purchased the computers and laptops for double the price; and though the GST was not paid on said purchase, had issued bogus receipts of GST to the said company. Also, the accused no.1, Annapure Reddy, had shown two cars for the transportation of the employees instead of one car and thereby took the bill amount of one of the cars and thereby deceived the said company totaling for the amount of Rs.22,62,922/-.

3. The investigating officer has resisted this bail application mainly on the grounds that the said applicant had filed one complaint with police against the said company and the informant, but she never turned up for the enquiry of said complaint. The investigating officer has also raised the objection that if the applicant is released on bail, she would pressurize the witnesses, directly or indirectly, and as the applicant is a resident of Thane, she may not attend regularly for the trial. The investigating officer has also expressed the fear that if the applicant is granted bail, she may flee away out of India. The investigating officer has requested to reject this application for custodial interrogation of the applicant to know where this received amount was spent.

4. Perused the grounds of bail and the copies of documents filed on record.

5. Heard learned advocate.Y.D. Pawar for applicant and learned APP K.V. Choure.

6. It is the argument of the learned advocate for the applicant that only one incident is alleged against the present applicant, i.e. the appointment of bogus employees and drawing the salary bills from 21/12/2022 till June 2023. So his argument is that as Annapure Reddy was operational head, he was

responsible for the appointment of the staff and drawing their salary; thus, as the minimal role of the applicant is there, he prayed for bail.

7. On perusal of the FIR, it is clear that it was the responsibility of the applicant to collect the bio-data of the candidates, conduct the interview of the candidates, and give information about new appointments to the operational head Annapure Reddy and also give the information about the presenty of the employees and their performance. Thus it is clear that the applicant was also responsible for appointments, to conduct interviews, and also keep the record of the employees. It is clear from the records that the investigating agency has already collected necessary documents from the concerned Amisec India Private Limited company about the statement of account of 2 bogus employees, i.e. Chandana T. and Arun Mulla Nanya. The investigating agency has also collected bank statements of the applicant. The investigating agency has not produced documents on record to show that the said salary amount of 2 bogus employees has gone to the account of the applicant. The purpose of further investigation with the applicant can be solved if the applicant is granted bail on certain conditions. The FIR is lodged on 12/09/2024; since then, the investigating agency had not arrested the applicant, which shows that the investigating agency is not serious in taking the custody of the applicant. Moreover, all the allegations about misappropriation are based upon documents

which the investigating agency is in progress of collecting, so in such circumstances, the bail application can be allowed by imposing stringent conditions. As a result, the following order:

ORDER

1. Criminal Bail Application No.6811/2025 is allowed.
2. In the event of arrest, the applicant Jayshree Subramaniam Iyer, be released on anticipatory bail in respect of Crime No.738/2024 registered with Chatushrungi police station under sections 408, 420, 467, 471 read with section 34 of IPC, on executing personal bond of Rs.30,000/- and surety of like amount on following conditions :
 - a. The applicant shall attend Chatushrungi police station as and when summoned by the investigating officer in writing and shall cooperate in further investigation till filing of charge-sheet;
 - b. The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer;
 - c. The applicant shall not leave India without permission of

the Court till conclusion of trial.

- d. The applicant shall provide all the necessary documents as and when demanded by the investigating officer.
- 3. In case of breach of above conditions the investigating officer is entitled for cancellation of bail.

(Dictated and Pronounced in open Court)

Date : 27/01/2026
Pune

(D.R. Shetty)
Additional Sessions Judge, Pune

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that the contents of PDF file Judgment are same word for word as per original Judgment.

Name of Steno	R.L. Bhunyar (Stenographer G-I)
Court Name	D.R. Shetty Additional Sessions Judge, Pune.
Date of Order/Judgment	27/01/2026
Order/Judgment signed by Presiding Officer on	28/01/2026
Order/Judgment uploaded & PDF on	28/01/2026