

Contd....order No. 1 dated 20.12.2022

Ld. Advocate of the plaintiff moves the application filed under Order 39 Rules 1 & 2 of the C.P.C. read with Section 151 of the C.P.C. for an order of ad interim injunction against the defendant No.1.

As per the note given by the Sherestader there is no caveat pending in connection with the subject matter of the present suit.

This is the suit for declaration, partition as well as permanent injunction.

Heard the Ld. Advocate of the plaintiff who submitted that the plaintiff has undivided share in the suit property as described in the 'Ka' schedule of the plaint. The plaintiff has contended that the 'Ka' schedule suit property is a joint property which is yet to be partitioned by metes and bounds. Ld. Advocate submitted that till recently the plaintiff was in peaceful joint possession of the suit property along with the defendants herein. However, on and from 15.12.2022 the defendant No. 1 has been disturbing the peaceful possession of the plaintiff in the suit property by giving out threats that she will forcibly dispossess the plaintiff from the suit property and shall change the nature and character of the same. Ld. Advocate of the plaintiff thus submitted that the plaintiff has been for the aforesaid reasons compelled to file the present suit against the said defendant in order to protect her right, title, interest in the schedule suit property which is a joint property. He, therefore, prayed for an order of ad interim injunction against the defendant No. 1 restraining her from forcibly dispossessing the plaintiff therefrom as well as from changing the nature and character of the suit property till the disposal of the temporary injunction application.

Heard the Ld. Advocate of the plaintiff at length.

Perused the plaint, the injunction application as well as the documents furnished by the plaintiff like the original sale deed No. 2120 of 1996 as well as the LRRORs relating to the suit property. From the aforesaid documents it is apparent that the plaintiff has a definite interest in the suit property. There is nothing on record from which it could be concluded that the said suit property has been partitioned by metes and bounds between the parties. Therefore, it is imperative that the interest of the parties in the suit property should be preserved and protected till the disposal of the temporary injunction application. This Court is of the considered view that the plaintiff has made out a prima facie case which is fit to be tried by this Court. The balance of convenience and inconvenience is also found to lean in favour of passing an order of ad interim injunction. Therefore, after taking into account the urgency involved in the matter, it is the considered view of this Court that an order of ad interim injunction should be granted in favour of the plaintiff otherwise, the very object of filing the instant suit would stand defeated.

Contd...

TS – 177 / 2022

Contd...Order No. 1

Hence it is,

ORDERED

That the application for ad interim injunction filed by the plaintiff is allowed. Both parties are directed to maintain status quo with regard to the nature, character and their respective possession in the schedule suit property, which has been described in detail in the 'Ka' schedule of the plaint, till the disposal of the temporary injunction application.

Issue notice upon the defendant No. 1 calling upon her to show cause within ten days from the date of receipt of the said notice as to why the application for temporary injunction filed by the plaintiff shall not be allowed and an order of temporary injunction shall not be passed against her.

Plaintiff is directed to comply the provisions of Order 39 Rules 3 (a) & (b) of the C.P.C. at once.

Plaintiff is further directed to file requisites immediately.

To 10.02.2023 for filing show cause by the defendant No. 1 and to 20.03.2023 for S/R, appearance of all the defendants.

D/C by me

C. J. (Jr. Divn.)
Amta, Howrah
(JO Code WB 01195)

C. J. (Jr. Divn.)
Amta, Howrah
(JO Code WB 01195)