

ARB-242-2024

National Highways Authority of India another
Versus
Ajmer Singh and others

Present: Sh. Mandeep Chanana, Advocate counsel for petitioner No.1
None for petitioners No.2 to 5
Sh. B.R Watts, Advocate counsel for respondents No.1 (i),
(ii) and (iii) and 2 (i) and respondents No.3 and 5
Respondent No.6 exparte.

Shri Mandeep Chanana, Advocate has filed memo of appearance on behalf of petitioner no.1. Adjourned to 17.11.2025 for filing power of attorney on behalf of petitioner No.1.

Despite repeated calls since morning, neither petitioner No.2 to 5 nor anyone has appeared on their behalf. Perusal of file reveals that on the last date of hearing also non has appeared on their behalf. It appears that the petitioner No.2 to 5 are not interested to pursue the present petition. Thus, the present petition qua petitioner No.2 to 5 is hereby dismissed in default for want of their appearance.

As per report of Ahlmad, summons to respondent No.1 (iv) and 4 could not be issued for want of correct address. So correct address of respondents No.1 (iv) and 4 be filed within a week and then notice to respondents No.1 (iv) and 4 be issued for said date.

Written reply on behalf of respondent No.1 (i), (ii), (iii), respondent No.2 (i), respondents No.3 and 5 be also filed on said date.

Dated: 29.07.2025

Amit Kumar

(Kiran Bala),
Addl. District Judge,
Faridkot
UID no. PB0147