

CNR No. PBSAB1 001627 2024
State Vs Karanbir Singh

BA-167-2024

FIR No.332 of 25.11.2018
U/S: 419, 420, 365, 384, 389, 120-B of I.P.C.
P.S. Dera Bassi

Present: Sh. Sumit Sawhney Advocate,
for applicant/accused Karanbir Singh
Sh. Veanu Sharda, Ld. Addl.P.P. for the State

ORDER:-

Heard on bail application moved on behalf of accused/applicant Karanbir Singh. It is stated in the bail application that applicant/accused is innocent and has been falsely implicated in present case and is in custody from the date of his arrest. Learned counsel for applicant/accused argued that nothing is to be recovered from accused person. He further argued that the applicant/accused is ready to abide by the terms and conditions as imposed by this Hon'ble Court while granting the concession of bail. As such, prayer is made that applicant/accused be released on bail.

2. Upon notice, Ld. APP for the State caused appearance and contested the bail application by filing reply submitting therein that the accused kidnapped the complainant Kamaljit Singh and demanded Rs.5 Lac from him threatening to implicate him in a drug case if their desire be not fulfilled. It is further submitted that the accused after receiving an amount of Rs.One Lac released the complainant and the accused shared the said amount with his co-accused. Ld. APP for the State further argued that the allegations against the accused are serious in nature, as such, Ld. APP for the State prayed that the applicant/accused Karanbir Singh does not deserve the concession of bail and his bail application be dismissed.

3. Heard. Papers perused. After hearing the arguments raised by the Ld. counsel for the accused and Ld. Addl.P.P for the State, I am of the considered opinion that the allegations against the accused Karanbir Singh are of serious nature as the facts narrated in the FIR are that the applicant/accused along with his co-accused Rupinder Singh, Gurjit Singh, Sonu alias Laddu and Vikram impersonated themselves as STF Employees and then abducted the complainant Kamaljit Singh and demanded Rs.5 Lac from him threatening to implicate him in a drug case if their desire be not fulfilled. It is further submitted that the accused after receiving an amount of Rs.One Lac released the complainant and the accused shared the said amount with his co-accused. Clearly, if the applicant/accused Karanbir Singh is granted bail, there are chances that he would influence the prosecution witnesses or tamper with the evidence. Thus, keeping in view the gravity of the offence involved in the present FIR and the antecedents of the accused, he does not deserve the concession of bail and the bail application of the accused Karanbir Singh, is, accordingly, dismissed. Bail application papers to be attached with remand papers/FIR/Challan, as the case may be.

Announced:
Dated: 05.06.2024

(Sumit Kumar)
Stenographer Gr-II

(Vineeta Luthra)
SDJM / Dera Bassi
UID NO. PB-0349