

CS (COMM.) No. 732/22
ARIHANT INDUSTRIES Vs. LEO MADDU INDUSTRIES
PVT LTD

08.05.2025

Present: Sh. Anirudh Pandit, Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff.
Ms. Richa, Id. Counsel for the defendant no. 1
through VC.
Ld. Proxy counsel for the defendant no. 1
Sh. Prabhanjan Jha, Ld. Counsel for the defendant
no. 2.
None for the defendant no. 3.

Vakalatnama not yet filed on behalf of the defendant
no. 2. Ld. Counsel for the defendant no. 2 undertakes to file the
same during the course of day.

Fresh vakalatnama filed on behalf of the defendant
no. 1. Let the same be e-filed first and then in the court. Same is
returned.

Ld. Counsel for the defendant no. 1 seeks some
more time to file affidavit of admission/denial to the documents
of the plaintiff on the ground that she has recently been engaged.

Defendant no. 1 is given last opportunity to file the
affidavit of admission/denial to the plaintiff's documents within
2 weeks failing which the documents of the plaintiff shall be
deemed to be admitted by the defendant no. 1.

Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff has pressed his interim
application. The plaintiff in the interim application has prayed
that the possession of the property be handed over to the plaintiff
and the defendants be restrained from creating any third party
interest in the property.

The first relief in this application seeking possession
at interim stage under Order XXXIX rule 1 and 2 cannot be

...contd.2

granted as the same will amount to decreeing the suit for the relief of possession. As far as the second prayer is concerned, the defendants are restrained till next date of hearing from alienating or creating any third party interest of any nature in the suit property.

Put up for further arguments on this interim application, admission/denial of documents and case management hearing on **02.07.2025**.

(AMIT KUMAR)

District Judge, Comm. Court-01
North, Rohini Courts, Delhi
08.05.2025