

Order No.5 dated 11.04.2016 :-

Accused persons namely Bappa @ Pintu @ Srimanta @ Bali Senapati and Ranjit @ Raju Naskar are produced from custody. At the time of call Ld. Advocate for the accused Ranjit @ Raju Naskar is present. None appears on behalf of the prosecution. Another accused Bappa @ Pintu @ Srimanta @ Bali Senapati submits before this Court that he will appoint an Advocate to conduct his case on his behalf after consultation with his family members who are expected to come before Court today.

It appears from the record that Ld. Additional Sessions Judge, Uluberia vide Order No.2 dated 4.3.2016 transferred this case to this Court for trial and disposal. On 4.3.2016 one bail petition was filed on behalf of custody accused Ranjit @ Raju Naskar before the Ld. Additional Sessions Judge, Uluberia, which is evident from the Order sheet but Ld. Additional Sessions Judge, Uluberia, did not dispose of the said petition, the reason best known to him and transferred this case record to this Court alongwith the said bail petition.

Bail petition is taken-up for consideration.

In course of hearing Ld. Advocate for the accused Ranjit @ Raju Naskar submits that this accused is in custody for a considerable period and the investigation has already ended and prays for bail. It is pertinent to mention here that prosecution did not appear before this Court and accordingly Court is not in a position to go through the case diary and to consider the bail application on merit. This Court also thinks that it would not be wise to hear the bail application on merit without hearing the Ld. Advocate for the Prosecution and without consultation with the case diary. Accordingly, bail petition of accused Ranjit @ Raju Naskar stands rejected at this stage.

Liberty is given to file bail petition after appearance of Ld. Advocate for the Prosecution.

Ld. Public Prosecutor, Howrah, is requested to appoint an Advocate on behalf of the Prosecution to conduct this case.

Liberty is also given to another accused namely Bappa @ Pintu @ Srimanta @ Bali Senapati to appoint an Advocate to conduct this case on his behalf after consultation with his family members by the next date.

Contd. Order No.5 dated 11.04.2016 :-

Fix 2.5.2016 for production and appointment of Ld. A.P.P.

Let a copy of this Order be forwarded to Ld. Public Prosecutor, Howrah, for information and necessary action.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Additional Sessions Judge,
Amta, Howrah.

Additional Sessions Judge,
Amta, Howrah.

Latter dated 11.04.2016 :-

It is now 12.30 P.M. After passing the above Order Ld. Advocate for the Prosecution Mr. Ramesh Ch. Pal, files Lawyers Hazira and the Office staff requested him to put the exact time for filing Hazira but he refused to put time which is beyond the ethics and dignity of a Ld. Advocate.

The above Order was passed in presence of Ld. Advocate for the accused Mr. Vishwadev Samanta in open Court, suggest absence of Ld. Advocate for the Prosecution.

As the Ld. Advocate for the Prosecution has already appeared latter on, accordingly there is no need to forward a copy of this Order to Ld. Public Prosecutor, Howrah, in terms of previous Order.

To-date (2.5.2016) for production of the accused persons.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Additional Sessions Judge,
Amta, Howrah.

Additional Sessions Judge,
Amta, Howrah.

