

**IN THE COURT OF SHRI JAGDEEP SOOD,
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE,
MOGA (UID No.PB00169).**

CNR No.PBMO010005762020

CIS Filing No.BA/234/2020.

Date of order : 12.02.2020.

State Vs. Baljinder Kaur wife of Surinder Singh, resident
of B-1, Gurdwara Majnu Ka Tilla, Civil Lines,
S.O. North, Delhi.

----Applicant-accused.

FIR No.7 dated 20.01.2020,

Under Sections : 306,34 IPC

Police Station : Kot Ise Khan.

Bail Application under Section 438 Cr.P.C.

Present:- Shri Anshuman Syal, Additional Public Prosecutor for State
Shri Deepak Arora, Advocate for applicant-accused
Shri Davinder Singh Sandhu, Advocate for complainant.

ORDER

1. This order will dispose of bail application filed by Baljinder Kaur under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking pre-arrest bail in case FIR No.7 dated 20.01.2020 under Sections 306,34 IPC registered at Police Station Kot Ise Khan.

2. Notice of the bail application has been issued to learned Additional Public Prosecutor who appeared and contested bail application

3. I have heard Learned counsel for applicant-accused as well as learned Additional Public Prosecutor and have gone through the record.

4. Present FIR has been got lodged by Gurjeet Singh, uncle of deceased Kamalpreet Singh on the ground that his elder brother Manjit Singh died 20 years ago leaving behind daughter Karamjit Kaur and son

Kamalpreet Singh. After completing education Kamalpreet Singh went to Dubai and stayed there for 4 years and came back to India 1-1/2 months ago. He was married to Simranpreet Kaur on 7.1.2020. Simranpreet Kaur stayed with Kamapreet Singh from 7.1.2020 to 9.01.2020. She went back to her parental house on 10.01.2020. Simranpreet Kaur was getting video-calls, chat messages & audio messages from boy named Jass. Kamalpreet Singh objected to it. Simranpreet Kaur made her boy friend Jass to talk to her husband Kamalpreet Singh. Jass told Kamalpreet Singh that Simranpreet Kaur is her girl friend and will remain so and he should not interfere into their relations. Kamalpreet Singh took mobile phone from his wife Simranpreet Kaur. Last mentioned raised dispute to get back her mobile phone. Kamalpreet Singh told his mother-in-law i.e. applicant-accused that Simranpreet Kaur should not have been married to him. He has been defamed in the village and amongst his relatives and his life has been ruined and he will commit suicide. Mother Baljinder Kaur and daughter Simrapreet Kaur taunted that he cannot kill himself. There-after Kamapreet Singh had consumed poisonous substance and has committed suicide. These facts shows that a heinous offence has been committed wherein husband has been made to commit suicide by his wife & mother-in-law (applicant-accused) within a short span of time from the date of marriage. IO has argued that custodial interrogation of applicant-accused is necessary in order to recover mobile phone of Simranpreet Kaur and her boy friend and also to ascertain close relationship between wife and her boy friend named Jass. So considering heinousness of offence and mode and manner in which husband has been made to commit suicide and fear it has spread in the society, present pre-arrest bail application loses merit and stands dismissed. File be consigned to record room.

Pronounced.
12.02.2020.
Jagjit Singh Panesar, Stenographer-II

(Jagdeep Sood)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Moga (UID No.PB00169).