

**24CC NI ACT/13937/2026**  
**HERO FINCORP LIMITED Vs. SANUUMARDIN**  
**(Dwarka Sector-23)**

**01.07.2026**

Present: Sh. Rishi Kumar Pandey, Id proxy counsel for complainant.  
Accused is absent.

Summons issued to accused received back unserved with the report 'insufficient address'.

Ld proxy counsel for the complainant submits that para no.8 of pre summoning evidence by way of affidavit mentions that the address placed on record of the accused is the last known address. It is submitted that no alternate address of the accused is available in the bank record.

Heard.

Considering the fact that the bank record has the address on record as the last known address of the accused, as per the affidavit, this court is satisfied that the accused is intentionally avoiding the service of summons upon him.

Therefore, let **B/Ws** be issued against the accused in the sum of Rs. 5000/- with one surety of like amount to be executed through the SHO concerned for the next date on filing of PF within 15 days from today.

Put up for report/appearance of accused on **05.10.26**.

**Deeksha Sethi**  
**Judicial Magistrate First Class-08**  
**South West District/New Delhi**  
**01.07.2026**