

**IN THE COURT OF MS.LUKHVINDER KAUR DUGGAL,
JUDGE SPECIAL COURT, FEROZEPUR.**

BA No.1703-2025

CNR No.PBFZ0100-4472-2025

Decided on 04.07.2025

**Arshdeep Singh son of Nishan Singh, resident of village
Gamme Wala, Mamdot, Ferozepur, Tehsil and District
Ferozepur.**

.....Applicant-Accused.

Versus

State of Punjab.

....Respondent.

**FIR No.73 dated 01.06.2025.
U/s 21/25/61/85 of NDPS Act
Police Station: Mamdot**

First Application for grant of bail u/s 483 BNSS.

Present: Sh. Jaskaran Singh Advocate for the applicant-
accused, namely, Arshdeep Singh
Sh.Amandeep Prinja, learned APP for the State, with
SI Sukhdev Singh No.1156/FZR, P.S. Mamdot.

ORDER

1. This order of mine shall dispose of regular bail application filed by the applicant/accused under section 483 BNSS, in the case mentioned above.
2. Notice of the bail application was given to State and Mr. Amandeep Prinja, learned APP has appeared on behalf of the state. The concerned Ahlmad has reported that as per CIS system no bail

application of the applicant is pending or decided in the same FIR in the subordinate court as well as Hon'ble High Court. SI Sukhdev Singh has produced the record of investigation and suffered statement that no such bail application of the applicant regarding the present FIR is pending or decided by any Court including Hon'ble High Court. There is no other FIR registered against the accused. The recovery in this case is 20 grams heroin, Rs.9500/-, one iphone and one Car.

3. In brief, the case of the prosecution is that on 01.06.2025, applicant/accused alongwith co-accused Gursewak Singh was apprehended by the police of Police Station Mamdot, while they were allegedly found in conscious possession of 20 grams of Heroin alongwith drug money of Rs.9500/-, iphone and one Car, without any valid permit or license and as such, the accused/applicant was booked in the present case.

4. The learned counsel for the applicant/accused has argued that the accused/applicant is quite innocent and he has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further argued that alleged recovery has already been effected from the accused/applicant and he is in custody since 01.06.2025. The presentation of challan and the conclusion of trial will take time and no purpose will be served by keeping the applicant/accused behind the bars. Lastly, he prayed for releasing the accused/applicant on bail.

5. On the other hand, learned APP for the state strongly opposed the bail application and prayed for dismissal of the same.

6. I have heard the learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the accused/applicants and gone through the main file minutely.

7. After hearing both the sides, it shows that the recovery of 10 grams Heroin has been effected from applicant/accused, which falls under the category of “non-commercial quantity”. Applicant/accused is in custody since the date of his arrest i.e. 01.06.2025. Presentation of challan and conclusion of trial shall take sufficient time. Therefore, keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose is going to be served by detaining the accused in custody for indefinite period. As such, without expressing any opinion on merits, the present bail application is allowed. The applicant/ accused is ordered to be released on bail on furnishing the bail bonds in the sum of Rs.30000/- with one surety in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the learned Area/Duty Magistrate, subject to the following conditions:-

- (i) That the applicant shall appear in the Court on each and every date of hearing;
- (ii) That the applicant shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence;
- (iii) That the applicant shall not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

8. Police record be returned. File be consigned to record room.

Pronounced in the open
Court on 04.07.2025.

*Typed by yashpaul
Stenographer I
* directly dictated.*

Sd/-(Lukhvinder Kaur Duggal)
Judge Special Court,
Ferozepur.
UID No.PB0156