

**In the Court of Sanjay Agnihotri,  
Judge Special Court,  
Kapurthala  
(UID No.PB0099)**

**CNR No. PBKP010041582025**



**Case No. BA/1709/2025**

**Presented on** : 17-07-2025

**Registered on** : 18-07-2025

**Decided on** : 23-07-2025

**Bakar Singh**, aged 46 years son of Jagir Singh, resident of village Mundi Cholian, Nahl, District Jalandhar.

....Accused/applicant

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

FIR No.86 dated 06.05.2025  
Under section 64,87,137(2),61(2) BNS 2023  
Section 6 POCSO Act  
Police Station: Sultanpur Lodhi  
District Kapurthala

**Application for bail under Section 482 BNSS**

Present: Sh. Harvir Singh Dhillon, Advocate for the applicant/  
accused.  
Ms. Reena Rani, Ld. Addl. P.P. for the respondent/State.

**ORDER:-**

1. This order shall dispose of an anticipatory bail application under section 482 BNS filed by the applicant/accused **Bakar Singh** on 17.07.2025 in case F.I.R. aforementioned.
2. Notice of the bail application was issued to the respondent State/complainant and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State

has contested the bail application. Police record has been requisitioned and received.

3. It has been averred in the anticipatory bail application that the applicant is innocent, has committed no offence and has been falsely implicated in the case. The challan has already been presented and there is no incriminating evidence against the applicant. Infact, the complainant and her daughter are in the habit of getting such type of false cases registered and then to fleece money from the people. On similar story, the complainant daughter of Gaaj Singh has got registered a FIR no.129 dated 28.06.2023 under section 376-D, 376-DA of IPC and section 4,6 of POCSO Act at PS Dharamkot which ultimately was compromised with the said persons after taking money. There is no direct or indirect evidence to connect him with the commission of crime. However, he is ready to join the investigation.

3. The allegations of the prosecution are that complainant Swaran Kaur wife of Gaaj Singh suffered a statement that her daughter/victim (name withheld), date of birth 17.10.2009, passed 8<sup>th</sup> class and was about to get admission in 9<sup>th</sup> class, has left the house in the month of December, 2024 without intimation to the family. They kept on searching the victim at their own and did not report the matter for the sake of honor. In the last week of December, 2024, she came to know that her daughter/victim has been enticed away by Sharanjit Singh son of Bakar Singh on pretext to marry. They talked to the members of the family of Sharanjit Singh and told them that the victim is minor so they should

return the victim to her. The talk was going on between the parties but to no avail. On 23.03.2025, Sharanjit Singh left the victim at village Singpur, Tehsil Dharamkot in the house of relative of the complainant. The victim disclosed that she was kept in a rented accommodation where Sharanjit Singh committed rape upon her time and again and Bakar Singh used to visit the said accommodation to meet his son. The complainant ultimately prayed for taking action against the accused persons for enticing away her daughter on pretext to marry with Sharanjit Singh and accused Sharanjit Singh committed rape upon her. Accused Bakar Singh also helped his son. The FIR was registered and investigation was initiated.

3.1 During the course of investigation, the victim suffered a statement under section 183 BNSS. Both accused evaded their arrest thus PO proceedings were initiated against them. The challan, by keeping the names of the accused in column no.2, has been presented in the court.

4. Arguments heard and record perused with the able assistance of learned counsel for the applicant/accused and Addl. Public Prosecutor for State.

5. As per statement of the complainant coupled with statement of the victim under section 183 of BNSS there are serious allegations against the applicant that he played a active role in the commission of crime for enticing away minor daughter of the complainant, then kept her alongwith his son at some place for about 2 ½ months, away from the

sight of the complainant, where son of the applicant was committing rape upon the victim a minor daughter of the complainant and who lastly left the victim in the village of some relative of the complainant. So, the custodial interrogation of applicant is required to unearth the truth & for the proper investigation of the case. The applicant and the main accused who is son of the applicant are at large till date. No extra ordinary ground is made for grant of anticipatory bail. As such the application for anticipatory bail of the applicant/ accused is **dismissed**. Bail application file be consigned to the record room(J),Kapurthala.

**Pronounced in open Court:-**  
**Dated: 23.07.2025**

*Nirlep Kaur Stenographer Gr.I*

**(Sanjay Agnihotri),  
Judge Special Court,  
Kapurthala.(UID No.PB0099)**

**CNR No. PBKP010041582025**



**Case No. BA/1709/2025**

**Presented on** : 17-07-2025  
**Registered on** : 18-07-2025  
**Decided on** : 23-07-2025

***Bakar Singh Versus State of Punjab***

Present: Sh. Harbir Singh Dhillon, Advocate for the applicant/  
accused.  
Ms. Reena Rani, Ld. Addl. P.P. for the respondent/State.

Arguments heard. Vide separate detailed order of even  
date, the application for bail filed by the applicant/accused has been  
**dismissed** as detailed therein. The record of bail application be  
consigned to the record room, Kapurthala.

**Pronounced in open Court:-**  
**Dated: 23.07.2025**

*Nirlep Kaur Stenographer Gr.I*

**(Sanjay Agnihotri),  
Judge Special Court,  
Kapurthala.(UID No.PB0099)**