

State Vs Mukhtiar Singh BA-172-2021

FIR No. 120 of 05.10.2021

U/s 379, 411 PC

Police Station Payal

Present: Learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.
Mrs J.K.Uppal, Advocate for applicant/ accused Mukhtiar Singh.

Heard on bail application filed on behalf of accused Mukhtiar Singh. Notice of the application given to the APP for the State who has filed the reply to the application.

2. Learned counsel for the accused person argued that accused person is falsely implicated by the police. He further argued that no offence has been committed by the accused person. Thus, he prayed that the present application be allowed.

3. On the other hand, learned APP for the State argued that offence against the accused person is serious one and no ground is made out to grant him bail. Thus, she prayed that the present application be dismissed.

4. I have heard the Ld. Counsel for the accused and Ld. APP for the State and gone through the record. No useful purpose would be served by detaining the accused in custody for indefinite period. Moreover, the accused is burden on State exchequer. He is more than 90 years of age. Recovery has already been effected. Accused person is not required for custodial interrogation as he is in judicial remand. Thus, the present bail application of the accused person under Sections 379, 411 of Indian Penal Code is allowed subject to furnishing the bail bonds and surety bonds in the sum of Rs.50,000/- in the like amount with one surety. Bail bonds and surety bonds not furnished.

(Ekta Sahota) PCS

Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate,
Payal. (UID No. PB0340)

Pronounced in open Court
Dated: 08.10.2021.

Poonam

Stenographer Grd.-2