

PBFD010030332026



Presented on : 07-05-2026
Registered on : 08-05-2026
Decided on : 22-05-2026
Duration : 0 years, 0 months, 15 days

Komaldeep Singh @ Shani vs. State**BA/1182/2026**

Present: Shri Navjit Singh, Advocate, Id.counsel for applicant/accused.
Shri Pankaj Taneja, learned APP for the State.

First Bail Application u/s 483 of BNSS, 2023**ORDER**

1. Heard on bail application filed on behalf of the applicant/accused u/s 483 of BNSS for regular bail, in case/FIR no.74 dated 24.03.2026, under Sections 126(2), 309(4), 3(5) BNS, PS Sadar Kotkapura, whereby, it is submitted by the applicant that he is innocent and has nothing to do with the alleged occurrence. The applicant/ accused has been falsely implicated in the present case and that a false case has been registered against the accused etc. on the basis of secret information. Nothing is to be recovered from the applicant/accused. The applicant/accused is under judicial custody since long and he is no more required by the police for any further investigation. Accordingly prayer is made for allowing the present bail application.

2. Upon notice, learned APP put in appearance on behalf of the State. Although, no reply is sought to be filed by the learned APP, to the present bail application, but the same is hotly contested by the learned APP and prayer is made for dismissal of the present bail application.

3. Brief facts of the present case as emanating from the record are that initially, the present case was registered on the statement/complaint of complainant Nirmal Singh son of Bau Singh, whereby he submitted that on 22.03.2026, he had gone from village Baggeanna to Kot Sukhia on his motorcycle bearing no.PB-04-AB-7168 (Chassis no.MBLHAW097KHA11652, Engine no.HA10AGKHA32127). At around 08:30 PM, when he reached near Waterworks Baggeanna Road

Kot Sukhia, three Nihang like persons wearing Dumala, Gattrra etc. armed with naked swords encircled him and out of them, a person tried to give blow from his sword and due to fear, he stopped his motorcycle. Thereafter, other two persons caught hold his arms and while threatening him, they snatched his purse containing Rs.300/-. They while giving him push, snatched his motorcycle and fled away towards village Kot Sukhia.

4. It is argued by the learned APP for the State that the accused has committed heinous offence. As such, he is not entitled for the concession of regular bail in the present case.

5. The said contentions of learned APP for the State are controverted by the learned defence counsel. It is submitted by him that accused has been falsely implicated in the present case. Accordingly, request is made for allowing the present application.

6. After hearing and considering the rival contentions of learned APP for the State and learned defence counsel and after going through the record carefully, this Court is convinced with the submissions of learned defence counsel.

7. As per record, the applicant(s)/ accused is/are in custody since the date of his arrest and no useful purpose will be served by further detaining the applicant(s)/accused behind bars, rather it would be a burden on the State exchequer. Accordingly, keeping in view all the facts of the present case, present bail application is hereby allowed and the accused/ applicant(s) is/are ordered to be released on regular bail in the present case on his/her/ their furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs.80,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of learned Ilqa/Area/Duty Magistrate, subject to the conditions that s/he/ they shall appear in the court on each and every date of hearing; s/he/they shall not leave the country without prior permission of the Court; s/he/ they shall not influence the investigation and s/he/they shall also surrender his/her/their passport in the court of learned Ilqa/Area/ Duty Magistrate and if s/he/ they do/does not possess any passport, then an affidavit in this respect be furnished by him/her/them or by his/her/ their near relative; applicant(s) accused shall not indulge in any criminal activity and shall not commit

offence of similar nature again, failing which the bail order granted herein shall be liable to be cancelled on the application made by the prosecution in this regard and that s/he/they shall furnish affidavit within 02 days of his release that s/he/they will not commit any cognizable offence under the provision of NDPS Act, BNS (IPC) or any other act in future, failing which the prosecution will have liberty to move application for the cancellation of bail in the present case and he will have no objection in this regard. Police record be returned, immediately. Bail application file/papers be consigned to the record room.

Pronounced in Open Court:

Dated: 22.05.2026

Sneh Lata

(Krishan Kant Jain)

**Additional Sessions Judge,
Faridkot/(UID:PB0163)**