

Bail Matters 234/2026
STATE Vs. JATIN
FIR No. 12/2026
PS NARELA
U/s.324(4)/326(g)/3(5) BNS

06.02.2026

This is second application U/s. 482 BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail moved on behalf of applicant/accused **Jatin** is placed before me in terms of order No. 4010-4033 Judl /Bail /North /RC /2026, dated 31.01.2026 of Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge, North, Rohini Courts, Delhi.

Present: Sh. Sanjay Jindal, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Complainant in person.
Sh. S. P. Dhankhar, Ld. Counsel for
applicant/accused.
IO/SI Daya Ram in person.

Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused submits that matter has been amicably settled between the complainant and the applicant/accused. It is further submitted that applicant/accused is not having any previous involvement and conviction and is having clean and clear antecedents and not case is pending before any Court of law. It is further submitted that applicant/accused is having no nexus with any case and nothing to do with the alleged offence and is falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that police officials of PS Narela are regularly visiting at the house of applicant/accused in the absence of the applicant/accused, there is imminent apprehension of his arrest in this face and fabricated case. It is further submitted that no application of applicant/accused is

continued to page no. 2

**Bail Matters 234/2026
STATE Vs. JATIN
FIR No. 12/2026
PS NARELA
U/s.324(4)/326(g)/3(5) BNS**

pending either before any other Court including Superior Courts. It is prayed that above said application may kindly be allowed.

On the other hand, Ld. Additional PP for State strongly opposed the bail application and submitted that allegations against the applicant/accused are serious in nature. It is prayed that the above said application may kindly be dismissed.

This court has given thoughtful consideration to the submissions made by Ld. counsel for applicant/accused and Ld. Additional PP for State and has also perused the record placed before the same.

Briefly stated, the present FIR bearing no. 12/2026 has been registered at PS Narela U/s.324(4)/326(g)/3(5) BNS. CCTV footage of the incident in question was played in the Court during the course of arguments, wherein IO has identified applicant/accused **Jatin** as one of the offenders who were damaging the property of complainant and setting it on fire around 1:00 A.M. in night. It is further important to mention that this is the second anticipatory bail application and no change of material circumstance has taken place since the dismissal of first anticipatory bail.

Keeping in view of the above discussion, seriousness of allegations leveled against applicant/accused **Jatin** and gravity of

**Bail Matters 234/2026
STATE Vs. JATIN
FIR No. 12/2026
PS NARELA
U/s.324(4)/326(g)/3(5) BNS**

offences alleged against him, the **present bail application of applicant/accused is hereby dismissed.**

Nothing stated herein shall tantamount to any expression of opinion the merits of the case. **Copy of order be given dasti to the concerned parties.** The present anticipatory bail applications file be consigned to record room.

**(Sushil Kumar)
Addl. Sessions Judge- 04
North District Rohini Courts Delhi
06.02.2026**