

**IN THE COURT OF MS. BALJINDER SIDHU, ADDITIONAL  
SESSIONS JUDGE/ VACATION JUDGE GURDASPUR  
UNIQUE IDENTIFICATION CODE NO. PB0100**

Date of Institution: 26.05.2025

Date of Decision: 09.06.2025

CIS no. BA-2346-2024

FIR No. 31 dated 06.03.2025

U/S 22(b)/27-A/29-61-85 of NDPS Act

& 111 BNS

P.S. Dinanagar

Gulshan @ Tonny son of Malkit resident of Deeda Sansian, P.S.  
Dinanagar, Tehsil and District Gurdaspur.

---Accused/applicant

Versus

State of Punjab

**(1<sup>st</sup> bail application under Section 483 of BNSS)**

Present: Sh. Bhuvnesh Mahajan Adv. counsel for accused/applicant.  
Sh. Hardeep Kumar, Addl.P.P for the State.

**ORDER**

1. File put up before me being Duty Judge as learned Presiding Officer is availing summer vacations. This order of mine shall dispose off application seeking bail under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita moved on behalf of above said accused/applicant.

2. Notice of bail application was given to State. The learned APP for the State has put in appearance.

3. In brief, prosecution story is that on 06.03.2025 accused Vikrant was apprehended by the police party headed by ASI Balkar Singh alongwith other police officials in the vicinity of Bypass Dinanagar. Upon suspicion, search of envelope was conducted,

which was carried by Vikrant from which 63 intoxicant tablets were recovered without any valid license or permit. Thereafter, on the disclosure statement of Vikrant, the present accused-applicant was nominated in the present case.

4. The counsel for the accused-applicant argued that no offence was committed by the applicant and false recovery was planted upon him. It was further argued that the present accused-applicant was nominated on the disclosure statement of Vikrant and nothing was recovered from him. It was further argued that presentation of challan and conclusion of trial would take long time and as such no useful purpose would be served by detaining him behind the bars and prayed for releasing the applicant on bail.

5. On the other hand, Additional PP for the State strongly argued that accused/applicant was involved in a case of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act and heave recovery of intoxicant substance was effected in this case. It was further argued that accused-applicant is habitual offender and as such he does not deserve the lenience of the court in grant of relief of bail.

6. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and after perusing the record, it transpires that in the instant case, 63 tablets was recovered from the possession of accused Vikrant and the present accused-applicant was nominated on his disclosure statement. These allegations are very serious and grave in nature. The learned

APP for the State stated that 13 other cases of NDPS Act are also pending against the accused-applicant and he is a habitual offender. Though doubt accused/applicant is in custody, but as stated above specific allegations have been leveled against accused/applicant and as such bail application of the accused-applicant stands dismissed. File be sent to the court concerned for the purpose of its consignment.

Date of Order: 09.06.2025

Dilbag Singh Steno-II

(Baljinder Sidhu)

Additional Sessions Judge

Fast Track Special Court

Gurdaspur/UID no. PB0100