

IN THE COURT OF SANJIV JOSHI,
UID NO.PB-00105,
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAPURTHALA.

CNR No. PBKP010041372025



Case No. BA/1703/2025

Presented on : 16-07-2025
Registered on : 17-07-2025
Decided on : 23-07-2025

Rajinder Bhatia @ Harjinder Bhatia aged about 38 years son of Shital Dass, resident of village Begampur, PO Sangtpur, Phagwara, District Kapurthala, at present confined at Central Jail, Kapurthala.

..... **Applicant/accused.**

Versus

State of Punjab.

..... **Respondent.**

FIR No.43 of 28.05.2025
U/s 326, 190, 191 (3), 333, 324 BNS
Police Station – Rawalpindi,
District Kapurthala.

Application for bail under Section 483 of BNSS.

Present: Sh.Raghav Dhir, Advocate for the applicant/accused.
Sh.Manoj Kumar, Addl. PP for the State.

O R D E R

Record perused. Arguments have been heard on the present regular bail application of above referred applicant/ accused Rajinder Bhatia in the aforesaid FIR.

2. Learned counsel for the accused submitted that the applicant/accused is innocent and has not committed any alleged offence. After the registration of the present case, applicant/accused was arrested by the police on 16.06.2025 and since then he is

confined in Judicial Custody at Modern Jail, Kapurthala. There is unexplained delay of five days in registration of the present FIR. Moreover, there is no eye witness to the alleged incident and the applicant has been falsely implicated by the complainant on the basis of suspicion as well as the political enmity in the village, as the complainant and the accused belongs to different political parties. The presentation of challan will take a long time and no useful purpose will be served by putting the applicant/accused behind the bars. The accused/applicant is ready to abide by the terms and conditions imposed upon him by the Court. Therefore, he is entitled to the concession of regular bail.

3. Contesting the arguments learned PP submitted that the accused is not entitled to benefit of bail keeping in view the gravity and seriousness of offence committed by the applicant and his co-accused.

4. **After hearing the rival contentions**, of the parties and pursuing the file, I am of the considered opinion that applicant/accused Rajinder Bhatia @ Harjinder Bhatia is not entitled to the benefit of regular bail in the present case. As per prosecution version, the applicant/accused alongwith other accomplices trespassed into the house of the complainant on the night of 23.05.2025 at 11:30 PM and broke the gate of his house as well as gate of house of Raj Kumar with weapons and by throwing bricks. They also damaged the gate of his Haveli, gate of bathroom, fridge

etc. and also set on fire the cot lying in a room and other articles lying in the room. Therefore, keeping in view the gravity and seriousness of allegations along with facts and circumstances thereto, the applicant/ accused is held not entitled to the concession of regular bail at this stage.

5. Accordingly, keeping in view the nature and gravity of the offence, I do not find any merit in the present bail application and the same stands **dismissed**. This bail application file be consigned to the Judicial Record Room.

Pronounced in open Court.
Dated: 23.07.2025
naresh

(Sanjiv Joshi),
Addl. Sessions Judge,
Kapurthala.
UID No. – PB00105

BA-1703-2025

Rajinder Bhatia @ Harjinder Bhatia Vs. State of Punjab

Present: Sh.Raghav Dhir, Advocate for the applicant/accused.
Sh.Manoj Kumar, Addl. PP for the State.

Arguments have been heard. Vide my separate detailed order of even date, the bail application of applicant/ accused stands **dismissed**, as detailed therein. This bail application file be consigned to the Judicial Record Room.

Pronounced in open Court.

Dated: 23.07.2025

naresh

(Sanjiv Joshi),
Addl. Sessions Judge,
Kapurthala.
UID No. – PB00105